arXiv:1407.7454v1 [math.DG] 28 Jul 2014

THE ETA FUNCTION AND η-INVARIANT OF $\mathbb{Z}_{2^r}$-MANIFOLDS

RICARDO A. PODESTÁ

Abstract. We compute the eta function $\eta(s)$, and its corresponding η-invariant, for the Atiyah-Patodi-Singer operator $\mathcal{D}$ acting on an orientable compact flat manifold of dimension $n = 4h-1$, $h \geq 1$, and holonomy group $F \simeq \mathbb{Z}_{2^r}$, $r \in \mathbb{N}$. We show that $\eta(s)$ is a simple entire function times $L(s, \chi_4)$, the L-function associated to the primitive Dirichlet character modulo 4. The η-invariant is 0 or equals $\pm 2^k$ for some $k \geq 0$ depending on r and n in a precise way. Furthermore, we construct an infinite family $\mathcal{F}$ of orientable $\mathbb{Z}_{2^r}$-manifolds with $F \subset \mathrm{SO}(n, \mathbb{Z})$. For the manifolds $M \in \mathcal{F}$ we have $\eta(M) = -\frac{1}{2}|T|$, where T is the torsion subgroup of $H_1(M, \mathbb{Z})$, and that $\eta(M)$ determines the whole eta function $\eta(s; M)$.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Rotation angles for order 2^r matrices 3
3. Eta function and η-invariant 8
4. A distinguished family of $\mathbb{Z}_{2^r}$-manifolds 14
5. The image of η^* 19
6. Comparison with Donnelly's expression for η 23
References 26

1. Introduction

Let M be an oriented compact Riemannian manifold of dimension $n = 4h - 1$, $h \geq 1$, and consider the Atiyah-Patodi-Singer operator $\mathcal{D}$ (*APS-operator* for short) defined on the space of smooth even forms $\Omega^{ev}(M) = \bigoplus_{p=0}^{2h-1} \Omega^{2p}(M)$ by

$$\mathcal{D}\phi = (-1)^{h+p-1}(*d - d*)\phi \tag{1.1}$$

with $\phi \in \Omega^{2p}(M)$, where $\Omega^{2p}(M)$ denotes the degree $2p$ forms. This operator is closely related to the signature operator. In fact, $\mathcal{D}$ is the tangential boundary operator of the signature operator $\mathcal{S}$ acting on a $4h$-dimensional manifold $\tilde{M}$ having M as its boundary.

Key words and phrases. APS operator, eta function, η-invariant, compact flat manifolds.
2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 58J28; Secondary 58Cxx, 20H15, 11M35.
Supported by CONICET and SECyT-UNC.

By compactness of M, $\mathcal{D}$ has a discrete spectrum, $\mathrm{Spec}_{\mathcal{D}}(M)$, of real eigenvalues λ with finite multiplicity d_λ which accumulate only at infinity. The *eta series*

$$\eta(s) = \sum_{0\neq\lambda\in\mathrm{Spec}_{\mathcal{D}}(M)} sign(\lambda)\,|\lambda|^{-s}, \qquad \mathrm{Re}(s) > n, \tag{1.2}$$

defines a holomorphic function having a meromorphic continuation to $\mathbb{C}$, also denoted by $\eta(s)$, having (possibly) simple poles in the set $\{n-k : k\in\mathbb{N}_0\}$. Remarkably, $\eta(s)$ is holomorphic at $s=0$ and the value $\eta=\eta(0)$ is called the *eta invariant* of $\mathcal{D}$.

Both $\mathcal{D}$ and $\eta(s)$ were first introduced and studied by Atiyah, Patodi and Singer in a sequel of 3 classical papers [**1**], where they also proved the regularity of $\eta(s)$ at the origin in the case of odd dimension. The finiteness of η in any dimension is due to P.B. Gilkey ([**6**]). Actually, the results in [**1**] and [**6**] are valid for arbitrary elliptic differential operators.

Any orientable compact flat manifold (in what follows *cfm* for short) is isometric to $M_\Gamma=\Gamma\backslash\mathbb{R}^n$, with Γ an orientable Bieberbach group, i.e. a discrete, cocompact, torsion-free subgroup of $\mathrm{I}^+(\mathbb{R}^n)=\mathrm{SO}(n)\ltimes\mathbb{R}^n$, the orientation preserving isometriy group of $\mathbb{R}^n$. Thus, $\Gamma=\langle\gamma=BL_b, L_\Lambda\rangle$, with $L_\Lambda=\{L_\lambda : \lambda\in\Lambda\}$, where $B\in\mathrm{SO}(n)$, L_b denotes translation by $b\in\mathbb{R}^n$, $\gamma^k\neq\mathrm{Id}$ for every $k\in\mathbb{N}$ and Λ is a B-stable lattice in $\mathbb{R}^n$. We will usually identify the point group with the holonomy group, that is $F\simeq\Lambda\backslash\Gamma$.

Since $BL_\lambda B^{-1}=L_{B\lambda}\in L_\Lambda$ for any $B\in\mathrm{SO}(n), \lambda\in\Lambda$, conjugation by F in $\Lambda\simeq\mathbb{Z}^n$ defines the integral holonomy representation ρ of Γ (which does not determine M_Γ uniquely, in general). A *G-manifold* is a cfm with holonomy group $F\simeq G$. In this paper we will be concerned with the case $F\simeq\mathbb{Z}_{2^r}$, for $r\in\mathbb{N}$.

In [**14**], we give a general expression for $\eta(s)$ and η for $\mathcal{D}$ acting on an arbitrary orientable cfm M_Γ (see Theorems 3.3, 3.5 and 4.2). Also, simpler expressions can be found in its sequel [**15**] in the case of cyclic holonomy group (see Proposition 3.1). There, it is shown that the computation of $\eta(s)$ can be reduced to the case when F is cyclic ([**15**], Proposition 5.1). This, enabled us to compute the η-invariant of some nice families of cfm's, such as F-manifolds with $F\simeq\mathbb{Z}_p, \mathbb{Z}_p\times\mathbb{Z}_q, \mathbb{Z}_2^k$ and $\mathbb{Z}_p^k$, with p,q odd primes and $k\geq 2$, or even with non-abelian holonomy group F, where F is of order 8 or F is metacyclic (dihedral and of odd order). Expressions for $\eta(s)$ and η on cfm's for the spin Dirac operator D were studied in [**12**] (the general case and $\mathbb{Z}_2^k$-manifolds), in [**18**] ($\mathbb{Z}_4$-manifolds) and in [**13**] and [**7**] ($\mathbb{Z}_p$-manifolds, p odd prime).

The main goal of this paper is to compute $\eta(s)$ and η for $\mathcal{D}$ on orientable $\mathbb{Z}_{2^r}$-manifolds of odd dimension $n=2m+1$, m odd. In this way, the present paper can be considered as a natural continuation of [**14**] and [**15**]. We remark that these manifolds are not classified (as it is the case for $\mathbb{Z}_p$ manifolds, p prime) since there is no such classification available for integral representations of $\mathbb{Z}_{2^r}$, $r\geq 2$.

A brief outline of the paper is as follows. In Section 2, we study the rotation angles of a matrix $B\in\mathrm{GL}(n)$ of order 2^r. Some trigonometric identities involving sines at special angles of the form $\frac{k\ell\pi}{2^r}$, with k,ℓ odd integers, are given in Propositions 2.5 and 2.6 (see also Propositions 6.2 and 6.3 for related identities involving cotangents). These identities play a key role in the computation of $\eta(s)$ and η, allowing great simplifications in the steps of the proofs, which lead to the final expressions in the statements.

Section 3 is devoted to the computation of $\eta(s)$ for arbitrary $\mathbb{Z}_{2^r}$-manifolds in all dimensions $n = 2m+1$, m odd. Let M_Γ be a $\mathbb{Z}_{2^r}$-manifold with $\gamma = BL_b$ the generator of $\Lambda\backslash\Gamma$. In Theorems 3.2 we show that, in the non-trivial cases,

$$\eta(s) = \tfrac{\eta}{(2^{r+1-\nu}\pi\lambda_B)^s}(\zeta(s,\tfrac{1}{4}) - \zeta(s,\tfrac{3}{4})) = \tfrac{2\eta}{(2^{r-1-\nu}\pi\lambda_B)^s}L(s,\chi_4), \tag{1.3}$$

where $\nu \in \mathbb{N}\cap[0, r-2]$ and $\lambda_B \in \mathbb{R}$ are certain constants depending on the metric, $\zeta(s,a) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(n+a)^{-s}$ is the Hurwitz zeta function, with $a \in (0,1]$, $\mathrm{Re}(s) > 1$, and $L(s,\chi_4)$ is the L-function associated to the primitive Dirichlet character mod 4. By Proposition 3.9, the η-invariant has the simple expression

$$\eta = \pm\, 2^{f(B)-2}\,,$$

where $\pm$ is a sign depending subtly on γ, and $f(B)$ is the number of irreducible factors of the characteristic polynomial of B depending on r and n (see (2.3)).

Next, in Section 4, we introduce an infinite family $\mathcal{F}$ of orientable $\mathbb{Z}_{2^r}$-manifolds of dimensions $n = 4h-1$, $h \geq 1$, each having integral holonomy representation. In Theorem 4.3 we compute the first (co)homology groups over $\mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_2$ of $M \in \mathcal{F}$. This allows us to get the η-invariant of M (and hence $\eta(s)$, by (3.6) and (3.17)) in topological terms; namely

$$\eta(M) = -\tfrac{1}{2}|\mathrm{Tor}(H_1(M,\mathbb{Z}))|.$$

We also posed some queries, see Questions 4.5, 4.9 and 4.10.

In Section 5, we show that for any $\mathbb{Z}_{2^r}$-manifold M, there is some $M_{k(r)} \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $\eta(M) = \eta(M_{k(r)})$. This allows us to prove that the set of possible values of $\eta(M)$, with M ranging over all $\mathbb{Z}_{2^r}$-manifolds, $r \geq 1$, is

$$\{0\} \cup \{\pm 2^k : k \in \mathbb{N}_0\}.$$

Then, we show that there are infinite families of $\mathbb{Z}_{2^r}$-manifolds with $\eta = 2^k$, for each k (with growing dimensions). Moreover, there is a number $n_{r,k}$ such that for every $n \geq n_{r,k}$ there is a $\mathbb{Z}_{2^r}$-manifold of dimension n with $\eta = 2^k$.

Finally, in the last section, we compare expression (3.4) for the η-invariant of any $\mathbb{Z}_{2^r}$-manifold, with Donnelly's expression (6.2), proved for a special class of $\mathbb{Z}_{2^r}$-manifolds (see Proposition 6.4).

2. Rotation angles for order 2^r matrices

As we shall later see, the results in this section are crucial for the determination of $\eta(s)$ in Theorem 3.2. We will first study the rotation angles of a matrix in $\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{Z})$ of order 2^r, and then compute some trigonometric identities related to them.

2.1. **Maximal torus and rotation angles.** For any $d \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\mathcal{U}_d = \{\omega \in \mathbb{C} : \omega^d = 1\}$ be the group of complex d-th roots of unity and denoteby $\mathcal{U}_d^* = \{\omega \in \mathcal{U}_d : \mathrm{ord}(\omega) = d\}$ the subgroup of primitive roots in $\mathcal{U}_d$. Then, for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$, we clearly have $\mathcal{U}_N = \bigcup_{d|N}\mathcal{U}_d^*$. The cyclotomic polynomial of order d is defined by $\Phi_d(x) = \prod_{\omega\in\mathcal{U}_d^*}(x-\omega) \in \mathbb{C}[x]$. It is known that $\Phi_d(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}$ of degree $\varphi(d)$, where φ is the Euler totient function. We have the relation

$$x^n - 1 = \prod_{d|n}\Phi_d(x). \tag{2.1}$$

Lemma 2.1. *Let $B \in \mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{Z})$ of order $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, the characteristic polynomial $p_{_B}(x)$ of B has the prime factorization*

$$p_{_B}(x) = \prod_{d|N} \Phi_d(x)^{c_d} \tag{2.2}$$

in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$, with $c_d \geq 0$ for any $d \mid N$ and $c_N \geq 1$. Also, $\deg p_{_B} = \sum_{d|N} c_d\, \varphi(d)$.

Proof. We know that $p_{_B}(x)$ is a monic polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$, all of whose roots are in $\mathcal{U}_N$. Since $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ is a unique factorization domain, $p_{_B}(x) = \prod_j p_j(x)$, with $p_j(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ monic irreducible for each j. We will show that the only monic irreducible polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$, with roots in $\mathcal{U}_h$ is $\Phi_h(x)$, $h \mid N$. Let α be a root of $p_{_B}(x)$ and let $\sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)/\mathbb{Q})$ with $\sigma(\alpha) = \alpha^k$ for $(k,h) = 1$. We have $p_{_B}(\alpha^k) = p_{_B}(\sigma(\alpha)) = \sigma(p_{_B}(\alpha)) = 0$, for any $(k,h) = 1$. Thus, $\Phi_h(x) \mid p_{_B}(x)$ in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$, hence in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$, and, by irreducibility, it must be one of the $p_j(x)$'s. Thus, we get (2.2) with $c_d \geq 0$ for each $d \mid N$. Clearly, we must have $c_N \geq 1$ for B to have order N. The assertion on the degree is obvious. □

For $B \in \mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{Z})$ we define $f(B)$ to be the *number of irreducible factors* in the prime decomposition of the characteristic polynomial $p_{_B}(x)$ of B in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$. Thus, by (2.2),

$$1 \leq f(B) = \sum_{d \,|\, o(B)} c_d \tag{2.3}$$

where $o(B)$ is the order of B. If $B = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} B' & \\ & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$, then $f(B') = f(B) - 1$ is the number of irreducible factors in the prime decomposition of $p_{_{B'}}(x) = p_{_B}(x)/(x-1)$.

Let $n = 2m+1$. Since $\mathrm{SO}(n)$ is a compact connected Lie group, it contains a maximal torus $T_n = \{x(t_1,\dots,t_m) : t_1,\dots,t_m \in \mathbb{R}\}$, where

$$x(t_1,\dots,t_m) := \mathrm{diag}(x(t_1),\dots,x(t_m),1) \tag{2.4}$$

with $x(t) = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \cos t & -\sin t \\ \sin t & \cos t \end{smallmatrix}\right)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Also, $T_{n-1} = \{x(t_1,\dots,t_m) : t_1,\dots,t_m \in \mathbb{R}\}$, where now $x(t_1,\dots,t_m) = \mathrm{diag}(x(t_1),\dots,x(t_m))$.

Let $\Gamma \subset I^+(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be a Bieberbach group and for any $BL_b \in \Gamma$ put $n_B := \dim(\mathbb{R}^n)^B$. Note that $n_{\pm B}$ is the multiplicity of the (± 1)-eigenvalues of B. Since $B \in \mathrm{SO}(n)$, B is conjugate to some element $x_B \in T_n$. Thus, there is $C \in \mathrm{GL}(n)$ such that $CBC^{-1} = x_B$. Since x_B fixes e_n, B fixes $u = C^{-1}e_n$ and hence $n_{x_B} = n_B \geq 1$ (this is known by other methods, see for instance [**16**]). Also, note that $p_{_B}(x) = p_{x_B}(x)$ and therefore $f(B) = f(x_B)$.

Proposition 2.2. *Suppose Γ is an orientable Bieberbach group of dimension $n = 2m+1$. Let $BL_b \in \Gamma$ with B of order N. Then, B is conjugate in T_{2m} to $x_B = x(t_1, t_2 \dots, t_m)$ or to $x'_B = x(-t_1, t_2, \dots, t_m)$ with*

$$x_B = x(\underbrace{j_{s,1}\tfrac{2\pi}{d_s},\dots,j_{s,f_s}\tfrac{2\pi}{d_s}}_{c_{d_s}\geq 1},\dots,\underbrace{j_{1,1}\tfrac{2\pi}{d_1},\dots,j_{1,f_1}\tfrac{2\pi}{d_1}}_{c_{d_2}},\underbrace{0}_{c_1-1}), \tag{2.5}$$

where $1 = d_1 < d_2 < \cdots < d_s = N$ are all the divisors of N and each c_{d_j} is the exponent of $\Phi_{d_j}(x)$ in the prime factorization of $p_{x_B}(x)$ in (2.2), such that

$$(j_{i,k}, d_i) = 1, \qquad 1 \leq j_{i,k} \leq \lfloor \tfrac{d_i}{2} \rfloor, \qquad 1 \leq k \leq f_i = \tfrac{\varphi(d_i)}{2},$$

for each $2 \leq i \leq s$.

Proof. Since $B' \in \mathrm{SO}(n-1)$, B' is conjugate to some element x_B in T_{n-1}. There are two conjugacy classes in $\mathrm{SO}(n-1)$, and hence B is conjugate to $x_B = x(t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_m)$ or to $x'_B = x(-t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_m)$ with $0 \leq t_i < 2\pi$ for $i = 1, \ldots, m$ (see the comments in between (3.8) – (3.10) in [**14**]).

Since B is of order $N > 1$, it is clear that the rotation angles must be of the form $\frac{2j\pi}{N}$, for certain $1 \leq j \leq [\frac{N-1}{2}]$. Also, $o(B) = N$ implies $c_N \geq 1$. Thus, if $\omega \in \mathcal{U}_N^*$, all the $\varphi(N)$ primitive N-th roots of unity $\{\omega^j : (j, N) = 1\}$ are roots of $p_{_B}(x)$. Since complex roots appear in conjugate pairs, it suffices to consider the angles in $[0, \pi]$. Hence, the rotation angles corresponding to $\mathcal{U}_N^*$ are the $\frac{\varphi(N)}{2}$ angles $\frac{2j\pi}{N}$ with $(j, N) = 1$, $1 \leq j \leq [\frac{N}{2}]$, each with multiplicity c_N. Similar arguments apply for every $\zeta \in \mathcal{U}_d^*$ with $d \mid N$ and $c_d \geq 1$. □

As a direct consequence of the previous results, we can be more precise in the case $N = 2^r$.

Corollary 2.3. *Let M_Γ be a $\mathbb{Z}_{2^r}$-manifold of dimension n, with $F = \langle B \rangle$. Then,*

$$p_{_B}(x) = (x-1)^{c_1} \prod_{k=1}^{r} (x^{2^{k-1}} + 1)^{c_{2^k}} \tag{2.6}$$

with $n = \deg p_{_B} = c_1 + \sum_{k=1}^{r} 2^{k-1} c_{2^k}$ and $c_1, c_{2^r} \geq 1$. Also,

$$x_B = x(\underbrace{\tfrac{\pi}{2^{r-1}}, \tfrac{3\pi}{2^{r-1}}, \ldots, \tfrac{(2^{r-1}-1)\pi}{2^{r-1}}}_{c_{2^r}}, \ldots, \underbrace{\tfrac{\pi}{4}, \tfrac{3\pi}{4}}_{c_8}, \underbrace{\tfrac{\pi}{2}}_{c_4}, \underbrace{\pi}_{c_2}, \underbrace{0}_{c_1 - 1}). \tag{2.7}$$

Proof. First we note that we can assume that $B \in \mathrm{O}(n, \mathbb{Z})$. In fact, $B \in \mathrm{O}(n)$ and since $\Lambda \simeq \mathbb{Z}^n$ we have $\rho(B) \in \mathrm{GL}(\Lambda) \simeq \mathrm{GL}(\mathbb{Z}^n) \simeq \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ and hence $\det(\rho(B)) = \det(B)$ and $p_B(x) = p_{\rho(B)}(x)$. By (2.1) and $x^{2^k} - 1 = (x^{2^{k-1}} - 1)(x^{2^{k-1}} + 1)$ we have that $\Phi_{2^k}(x) = x^{2^{k-1}} + 1$, $k \geq 1$. Since the divisors of 2^r are $1, 2, 2^2, \ldots, 2^r$, by Lemma 2.1 with $N = 2^r$ we have $p_{_B}(x) = (x-1)^{c_1}(x+1)^{c_2}(x^2+1)^{c_4}(x^4+1)^{c_8} \cdots (x^{2^{r-1}}+1)^{c_{2^r}}$ and hence (2.6) follows, with $c_{2^r} \geq 1$.

Relative to the angles, all the $j_{i,k}$'s in Proposition 2.2 are odd, and hence B is conjugate in T_{2m} to $x_B = x(t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_m)$ or to $x'_B = x(-t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_m)$ with x_B as in (2.7). Clearly, we have $n = 2^{r-1}c_{2^r} + \cdots + 4c_8 + 2c_4 + c_2 + (c_1 - 1) + 1 = \deg p_{_B}$. Since n_B is the multiplicity of 1 as eigenvalue of B, and $n_B \geq 1$, we have $c_1 \geq 1$. □

2.2. **Trigonometric identities.** The rotation angles of order 2^r matrices give rise to interesting non-trivial trigonometric identities involving products and alternating sums of sines and cotangents (see Propositions 6.2 and 6.3). The following notations will be useful in the sequel. For any positive integer N define $I_N = \{i \in \mathbb{N} : 1 \leq i \leq N\}$ and

$$I_N^* = \{j \in I_N : j \,\text{odd}\}. \tag{2.8}$$

We will need the following technical result.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $k, N \in \mathbb{N}$. If k is odd and N is even then*

$$S_N^*(k) := \sum_{j \in I_{N-1}^*} [\tfrac{jk}{N}] = \tfrac{(k-1)N}{4} \in \mathbb{Z}, \tag{2.9}$$

where $[\,\cdot\,]$ is the floor function. In particular, $S_N^*(k) \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ for $N = 2^r$, $r \geq 2$.

Proof. Let $S_N(k) := \sum_{j=1}^{N-1}[\frac{jk}{N}]$ and note that $S_N(k) = \sum_{j=1}^{N/2}[\frac{jk}{N}]+\sum_{j=1}^{N/2}\,[\frac{(N-j)k}{N}]-[\frac{N}{2}\,\frac{k}{N}]$. Thus, we have $\big[\frac{(N-j)k}{N}\big] = [k-\frac{jk}{N}] = k+[-\frac{jk}{N}] = k-1-[\frac{jk}{N}]$, since $[x+n] = [x]+n$ for $n\in\mathbb{Z}$ and $[-x] = -1-[x]$ for $x\notin\mathbb{Z}$. Hence,

$$S_N(k) = \sum_{1\leq j\leq N/2}[\tfrac{jk}{N}] + \Big(\tfrac{(k-1)N}{2} - \sum_{1\leq j\leq N/2}[\tfrac{jk}{N}]\Big) + [\tfrac{k}{2}] = \tfrac{(k-1)N}{2} + \tfrac{k-1}{2} = \tfrac{(k-1)(N-1)}{2}.$$

Now,

$$S_N(k) = S_N^*(k) + S_{\frac{N}{2}}^*(k) + \cdots + S_4^*(k) + S_2^*(k) = S_N^*(k) + S_{\frac{N}{2}}(k),$$

by induction, and hence, $S_N^*(k) = S_N(k) - S_{\frac{N}{2}}(k) = \frac{(k-1)}{2}\frac{N}{2}$, and we are done. □

Proposition 2.5. *If r, k are positive integers with $r\geq 2$ and k odd then*

$$\prod_{j\in I_{2^r}^*}\sin\big(\tfrac{jk\pi}{2^r}\big) = \tfrac{1}{2^{2^{r-1}-1}}. \tag{2.10}$$

Proof. Let $N = 2^r$ and assume k odd. We will first prove that the product in (2.10) does not depend on k. For any fixed odd k, there are unique integers q_j, r_j such that $jk = q_jN + r_j$ with $0\leq r_j\leq N-1$. If j is odd, then r_j must be odd, and hence $r_j\geq 1$. Thus,

$$\sin(\tfrac{jk\pi}{N}) = \sin(q_j\pi + \tfrac{r_j\pi}{N}) = (-1)^{q_j}\sin(\tfrac{r_j\pi}{N}). \tag{2.11}$$

By modularity, for any k odd we have $\{1,3,\ldots,N-1\} = \{k,3k,\ldots,k(N-1)\}$ mod N and hence $\{1,3,\ldots,N-1\} = \{r_1,r_3,\ldots,r_{N-1}\}$ mod N. Therefore, by (2.11)

$$\prod_{j\in I_{2^r}^*}\sin(\tfrac{jk\pi}{N}) = (-1)^{S_N^*(k)}\prod_{j\in I_{2^r}^*}\sin(\tfrac{r_j\pi}{N}) = \prod_{j\in I_{2^r}^*}\sin(\tfrac{j\pi}{N}), \tag{2.12}$$

where we have used that $q_j = [\frac{jk}{N}]$ and $S_N^*(k)$ as in (2.9) is even by Lemma 2.4, since $r\geq 2$.

It only remains to compute the last product in (2.12). We will fisrt prove

$$\prod_{j\in I_{2^{r-1}}^*}\sin\big(\tfrac{j\pi}{2^r}\big) = \tfrac{\sqrt{2}}{2^{2^r-2}}. \tag{2.13}$$

Assume that $r\geq 2$, the case $r=1$ being trivial. Let us define $\Pi_{2^r} := \prod_{j=1}^{2^{r-1}}\sin(\frac{j\pi}{2^r})$ and $\Pi_{2^r}^* := \prod_{j\in I_{2^{r-1}}^*}\sin(\frac{j\pi}{2^r})$. We recall the identity $\prod_{j=1}^{[\frac{d}{2}]}\sin(\frac{j\pi}{d}) = \sqrt{d}/2^{\frac{d-1}{2}}$, with $d\in\mathbb{N}$ (see **[13]**, Lemma 3.1, for a proof). By taking $d = 2^r$ we have that $\Pi_{2^r} = 2^{\frac{r}{2}-\frac{2^r-1}{2}}$. By induction, we have

$$\Pi_{2^r} = \Pi_{2^r}^*\Pi_{2^{r-1}}^*\cdots\Pi_{2^2}^*\Pi_{2^1}^* = \Pi_{2^r}^*\Pi_{2^{r-1}}$$

for $r\geq 2$, and thus

$$\Pi_{2^r}^* = \frac{\Pi_{2^r}}{\Pi_{2^{r-1}}} = \frac{2^{\frac{r}{2}-\frac{2^r-1}{2}}}{2^{\frac{r-1}{2}-\frac{2^{r-1}-1}{2}}} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2^{2^r-2}},$$

as desired.

Now, by symmetry, $\sin(\frac{(N-j)\pi}{N}) = \sin(\frac{j\pi}{N})$, for $0 < j < \frac{N}{2}$. By using this and (2.13) we obtain

$$\prod_{j\in I_N^*} \sin(\tfrac{j\pi}{N}) = \prod_{j\in I_{2^{r-1}}^*} \big(\sin(\tfrac{j\pi}{2^r})\big)^2 = (\Pi_{2^r}^*)^2 = \big(\tfrac{\sqrt{2}}{2^{2^r-2}}\big)^2 = \tfrac{1}{2^{2^{r-1}-1}},$$

and thus the proposition follows. □

For $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t, \omega \in \mathbb{Z}$ define the sums

$$\mathcal{S}_{r,t}(\omega) := \sum_{k\in I_{2^r}^*} (-1)^{[\frac{k}{2}]}\, \sin(\tfrac{k\omega\pi}{2^t}) \tag{2.14}$$

Proposition 2.6. *Let $r, \nu \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}$ with ℓ odd. If $t \le r$ then*

$$\mathcal{S}_{r,t}(2^\nu \ell) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{[\frac{\ell}{2}]}\, 2^{r-1} & \text{if } t = \nu + 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } t \neq \nu + 1. \end{cases} \tag{2.15}$$

Proof. For $t \le 0$ the result is trivial, thus assume $t \ge 1$. Suppose first that $\nu = 0$. Consider $t = 1$. Since for any k odd, $\sin(\frac{k\pi}{2}) = (-1)^{[\frac{k}{2}]}$ and $\sin(\frac{k\ell\pi}{2}) = (-1)^{[\frac{k\ell}{2}]} = (-1)^{[\frac{k}{2}]}(-1)^{[\frac{\ell}{2}]}$, with ℓ odd, then we have

$$\mathcal{S}_{r,1}(\ell) = \sum_{k\in I_{2^r}^*} (-1)^{[\frac{k}{2}]}\, \sin(\tfrac{k\ell\pi}{2}) = \sum_{k\in I_{2^r}^*} (-1)^{[\frac{\ell}{2}]} = (-1)^{[\frac{\ell}{2}]}\, 2^{r-1}.$$

Now let $t > 1$. If $t = r$, we have the angles $\frac{\pi}{2^r}, \frac{3\pi}{2^r}, \ldots, \frac{(2^r-1)\pi}{2^r}$ in $[0, \pi]$, and we compare the contributions of $\frac{k\ell\pi}{2^r}$ and $\frac{(2^r-k)\ell\pi}{2^r}$. Since for any positive odd integer k we have $\sin(\frac{(2^r-k)\ell\pi}{2^r}) = (-1)^{\ell+1} \sin(\frac{k\ell\pi}{2^r})$ and $(-1)^{[\frac{2^r-k}{2}]} = (-1)^{[2^{r-1}-\frac{k}{2}]} = (-1)^{[-\frac{k}{2}]} = (-1)^{[\frac{k}{2}]+1}$, we get

$$(-1)^{[\frac{2^r-k}{2}]} \sin(\tfrac{(2^r-k)\pi}{2^r}) = (-1)^{\ell}(-1)^{[\frac{k}{2}]} \sin(\tfrac{k\ell\pi}{2^r})$$

and thus, since ℓ is odd, the contributions of the angles $\frac{k\pi}{2^r}$ and $\frac{(2^r-k)\pi}{2^r}$ in (2.15) cancel each other out. If $t < r$, there are more angles to consider. However, by modularity, it is enough to consider the angles

$$\tfrac{\pi}{2^t}, \tfrac{3\pi}{2^t}, \ldots, \tfrac{(2^t-1)\pi}{2^t}, \tfrac{(2^t+1)\pi}{2^t}, \tfrac{(2^t+3)\pi}{2^t}, \ldots, \tfrac{(2^{t+1}-1)\pi}{2^t}$$

in the interval $(0, 2\pi)$. In this case, we compare the angle $\frac{k\ell\pi}{2^t}$ with $\frac{(2^t+k)\ell\pi}{2^t}$, for any $k = 1, 3, 5, \ldots, 2^t - 1$. Since $\sin(\theta + \pi) = -\sin(\theta)$ and $(-1)^{[\frac{2^t+k}{2}]} = (-1)^{[\frac{k}{2}]}$, we see again that the contributions of $\frac{k\ell\pi}{2^t}$ and $\frac{(2^t+k)\ell\pi}{2^t}$ cancel out. In this way, $\mathcal{S}_{r,t}(\ell) = 0$ for $t > 1$.

Now, consider the case $\nu \ge 1$. Clearly, $\mathcal{S}_{r,t}(2^\nu \ell) = 0$ for $\nu \ge t$. For $1 \le \nu \le t - 1$, note that $\mathcal{S}_{r,t}(2\ell) = \mathcal{S}_{r,t-1}(\ell)$. Hence, by induction,

$$\mathcal{S}_{r,t}(2^\nu \ell) = \mathcal{S}_{r,t-\nu}(\ell) = \delta_{t,\nu+1}\, (-1)^{[\frac{\ell}{2}]}\, 2^{r-1},$$

where δ is the Kronecker function, and thus (2.15) holds. □

3. Eta function and η-invariant

Let Γ be an n-dimensional Bieberbach group with translation lattice Λ and point group F and let $\gamma = BL_b \in \Gamma$. If $x_B = x(t_1, \dots, t_m) \in T_{n-1}$ is conjugate to B, denote the angles $t_1, \dots, t_m$ of B by $t_1(x_B), \dots, t_m(x_B)$ and put

$$(\Lambda\backslash\Gamma)' = \{BL_b \in \Lambda\backslash\Gamma : B \in F_1'\}$$

where $F_1' = \{B \in F : n_B = 1 \text{ and } t_i(x_B) \notin \pi\mathbb{Z},\ 1 \le i \le m\}$. If $B \in F_1$, choose $v_B \in \Lambda^*$ such that

$$(\Lambda^*)^B = \mathbb{Z}v_B \qquad \text{and put} \qquad \lambda_{_B} = \|v_B\|. \tag{3.1}$$

Then, if $o(B)$ denotes the order of B, we have (see **[14]**, Lemma 4.1)

$$\ell_\gamma := o(B)\langle v_B, b\rangle \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{3.2}$$

We now recall the expressions of $\eta(s)$ for $\mathbb{Z}_N$-manifolds, that will be our starting point.

Proposition 3.1 ([**14**], Prop. 2.2)**.** *Let M_Γ be an orientable $\mathbb{Z}_N$-manifold of dimension $n = 2m+1$, with m odd, and $\Gamma = \langle \gamma, L_\Lambda\rangle$ where $\gamma = BL_b$. If $r = 1$ or $n_B > 1$ then $\eta(s) = 0$. On the contrary, if $r \ge 2$ and $n_B = 1$ then*

$$\eta(s) = -\sigma_{v_B}\, \tfrac{2^{m+1}}{N(2\pi\lambda_{_B})^s} \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ \gamma^k \in (\Lambda\backslash\Gamma)'}}^{N-1} \tfrac{1}{o(B^k)^s} \Big(\prod_{j=1}^{m} \sin(kt_j)\Big) \sum_{j=1}^{o(B^k)-1} \sin\big(\tfrac{2\pi j k \ell_\gamma}{N}\big)\, \zeta(s, \tfrac{j}{o(B^k)}) \tag{3.3}$$

where v_B and ℓ_γ are as in (3.1) *and* (3.2) *respectively, and*

$$\eta = -\sigma_{v_B}\, \tfrac{2^m}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \sum_{\gamma^k \in (\Lambda\backslash\Gamma)'} \big(\prod_{j=1}^{m} \sin(kt_j)\big) \cot(\tfrac{\pi k \ell_\gamma}{N}), \tag{3.4}$$

where $\sigma_{v_B} \in \{\pm 1\}$ is a sign depending on the conjugacy class of x_B in T_{n-1}.

Note. See **[14]**, (3.10)–(3.11), for details on the sign σ_{v_B}.

3.1. **Eta function.** We now give the eta function of $\mathcal{D}$ for an arbitrary $\mathbb{Z}_{2^r}$-manifold M_Γ. We recall here that the class of $\mathbb{Z}_{2^r}$-manifolds are not classified, since there is no such classification for the integral representations of $\mathbb{Z}_{2^r}$, for $r \ge 3$. However, all the information needed to compute $\eta(s)$ is (roughly) contained in the angles of the rotational part of the elements of Γ.

We will express $\eta(s)$ in terms of a Dirichlet L-function. We recall that for $\chi : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{C}^*$ a Dirichlet character modulo N one has the Dirichlet series $L(s,\chi) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi(n)}{n^s}$, absolutely convergent for $\mathrm{Re}\,(s) > 1$. It has an analytic continuation to the whole $\mathbb{C}$ given by

$$L(s,\chi) = \tfrac{1}{N^s} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \chi(j)\, \zeta(s, \tfrac{j}{N})\,. \tag{3.5}$$

We will be concerned with χ_4, the *primitive* character mod 4, defined by $\chi_4(1) = 1$, $\chi_4(3) = -1$ and $\chi_4(0) = \chi_4(2) = 0$, that is $\chi_4(n) = \sin(\frac{\pi n}{2})$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

The promised result is as follows.

Theorem 3.2. *Let M_Γ be any orientable $\mathbb{Z}_{2^r}$-manifold of dimension $n \equiv 3$ mod 4, with $\Gamma = \langle \gamma = BL_b, L_\Lambda \rangle$. For ℓ_γ as in (3.2) put $\ell_\gamma = 2^\nu \ell$ with ℓ odd and $\nu \geq 0$. If $n_B > 1$ or $r = 1$, then $\eta(s) = 0$. If $r \geq 2$ and $n_B = 1$ then $Spec_{\mathcal{D}}(M_\Gamma)$ is asymmetric and we have*

$$\eta(s) = \tfrac{\sigma_\gamma\, 2^{f(B)-1}}{(2^{r+1-\nu}\pi\lambda_B)^s}\{\zeta(s,\tfrac{1}{4}) - \zeta(s,\tfrac{3}{4})\} = \tfrac{\sigma_\gamma\, 2^{f(B)-1}}{(2^{r-1-\nu}\pi\lambda_B)^s}\, L(s,\chi_4) \tag{3.6}$$

with $0 \leq \nu \leq r-2$ and $\sigma_\gamma = \sigma_{v_B}\,(-1)^{[\frac{\ell}{2}]+1} \in \{\pm 1\}$, where χ_4 is the primitive Dirichlet character mod 4 and $f(B)$, λ_B are as in (2.3), (3.1) respectively.

Proof. We will apply Proposition 3.1. It is known that $\mathbb{Z}_2$-manifolds have symmetric spectrum and hence trivial $\eta(s)$ ([**14**], Proposition 3.11, or §6.1.1 in [**15**]). Also, $\eta(s) = 0$ if $n_B > 1$. Thus, from now on, we assume that $r \geq 2$ and $n_B = 1$. Let $n = 2m+1$, m odd. We now study the ingredients in (3.3). The angles are given by (2.7). Also, $n_B = 1$ implies $c_1 = 1$ and $\gamma^k \in (\Lambda\backslash\Gamma)'$ if and only if k is odd and $c_2 = 0$. Thus,

$$x_B = x(\underbrace{\tfrac{\pi}{2^{r-1}}, \tfrac{3\pi}{2^{r-1}}, \ldots, \tfrac{(2^{r-1}-1)\pi}{2^{r-1}}}_{c_{2^r}}, \ldots, \underbrace{\tfrac{\pi}{8}, \tfrac{3\pi}{8}, \tfrac{5\pi}{8}, \tfrac{7\pi}{8}}_{c_{16}}, \underbrace{\tfrac{\pi}{4}, \tfrac{3\pi}{4}}_{c_8}, \underbrace{\tfrac{\pi}{2}}_{c_4}). \tag{3.7}$$

Note that $o(B^k) = 2^r$ for every k odd. Relative to the dimension, we have that

$$m = 2^{r-2}c_{2^r} + \cdots + 4c_{16} + 2c_8 + c_4. \tag{3.8}$$

By Corollary 2.3, we know that $c_{2^r} \geq 1$. The condition m odd implies that c_4 is also odd, and, in particular, $c_4 \geq 1$.

By putting all this information in (3.3) we get

$$\eta(s) = -\tfrac{\sigma_{v_B}\, 2^{m+1-r}}{(2^{r+1}\pi\|v_B\|)^s} \sum_{k \in I^*_{2^r}} P_k(x_B) \sum_{j=1}^{2^r-1} \sin\left(\tfrac{\pi j k \ell_\gamma}{2^{r-1}}\right) \zeta(s, \tfrac{j}{2^r})\,, \tag{3.9}$$

where we have used (2.8) and the notation $P_k(x_B) = \prod_{j=1}^m \sin(kt_j)$. By (2.7), we have

$$P_k(x_B) = \left(\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \text{ odd}}}^{2^{r-1}} \sin(\tfrac{jk\pi}{2^{r-1}})\right)^{c_{2^r}} \left(\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \text{ odd}}}^{2^{r-2}} \sin(\tfrac{jk\pi}{2^{r-2}})\right)^{c_{2^{r-1}}} \cdots \left(\prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \text{ odd}}}^{2^2} \sin(\tfrac{jk\pi}{2^2})\right)^{c_8} \left(\sin(\tfrac{k\pi}{2})\right)^{c_4}.$$

For $r = 2$, we have $P_k(x_B) = (\sin(\frac{k\pi}{2}))^{c_4} = (-1)^{[\frac{k}{2}]}$, since c_4 is odd. For $r \geq 3$, by Proposition 2.5, we get (this is a first key step)

$$P_k(x_B) = (-1)^{[\frac{k}{2}]} \prod_{i=2}^{r-1} \left(\prod_{j \in I^*_{2^i}} \sin(\tfrac{jk\pi}{2^i})\right)^{c_{2^{i+1}}} = (-1)^{[\frac{k}{2}]} \prod_{i=2}^{r-1} \left(\tfrac{1}{2^{2^{i-1}-1}}\right)^{c_{2^{i+1}}} = (-1)^{[\frac{k}{2}]}\, 2^{-S_r},$$

where we have put $S_r := \sum_{i=2}^{r-1} (2^{i-1}-1)\, c_{2^{i+1}}$. By (3.8) and (2.3), since $c_1 = 1$, $c_2 = 0$, we have

$$S_r = \sum_{i=2}^{r-1} 2^{i-1} c_{2^{i+1}} - \sum_{i=2}^{r-1} c_{2^{i+1}} = (m - c_4) - (f(B') - c_4) = m - f(B) + 1.$$

Therefore, we get $P_k(x_B) = (-1)^{[\frac{k}{2}]}\, 2^{-m+f(B)-1}$ and putting this in (3.9) we obtain

$$\eta(s) = -\tfrac{\sigma_{v_B}\, 2^{f(B)-r}}{(2^{r+1}\pi\|v_B\|)^s} \sum_{k\in I^*_{2^r}} (-1)^{[\frac{k}{2}]} \underbrace{\sum_{j=1}^{2^r-1} \sin\big(\tfrac{\pi jk\ell_\gamma}{2^{r-1}}\big)\, \zeta(s, \tfrac{j}{2^r})}_{:=\xi_{k,\ell_\gamma,r}(s)}. \tag{3.10}$$

Now, since $\sin(\frac{(2^r-j)k\pi}{2^{r-1}}) = -\sin(\frac{jk\pi}{2^{r-1}})$ for every $j, k, \in \mathbb{N}$, we can write

$$\begin{aligned}\xi_{k,\ell_\gamma,r}(s) &= \sum_{j=1}^{2^{r-1}-1} \sin\big(\tfrac{\pi jk\ell_\gamma}{2^{r-1}}\big)\, \big\{\zeta(s, \tfrac{j}{2^r}) - \zeta(s, 1-\tfrac{j}{2^r})\big\} \\ &= \sum_{t=2}^{r} \sum_{j\in I^*_{2^{t-1}}} \sin\big(\tfrac{\pi jk\ell_\gamma}{2^{t-1}}\big)\, \big\{\zeta(s, \tfrac{j}{2^t}) - \zeta(s, 1-\tfrac{j}{2^t})\big\},\end{aligned}$$

where we have put together the contribution of the angles of the N-th roots of unity of the same order 2^t, $1 \le t \le r-1$.

In this way, the sum in (3.10) becomes

$$\sum_{k\in I^*_{2^r}} (-1)^{[\frac{k}{2}]}\, \xi_{k,\ell_\gamma,r}(s) = \sum_{t=2}^{r} \sum_{j\in I^*_{2^{t-1}}} \left(\sum_{k\in I^*_{2^r}} (-1)^{[\frac{k}{2}]} \sin\big(\tfrac{\pi jk\ell_\gamma}{2^{t-1}}\big) \right) \big\{\zeta(s, \tfrac{j}{2^t}) - \zeta(s, 1-\tfrac{j}{2^t})\big\}.$$

By Proposition 2.6, the sum between parentheses equals

$$\mathcal{S}_{r,t-1}(2^\nu j\ell) = \delta_{t-1,\nu+1}(-1)^{[\frac{j\ell}{2}]} 2^{r-1}$$

for $t \le r$ (where $\ell_\gamma = 2^\nu \ell$ with ℓ odd); i.e. it does not vanish only for $t = \nu+2$ and hence $0 \le \nu \le r-2$ (this is a second key step).

Thus, since $(-1)^{[\frac{j\ell}{2}]} = (-1)^{[\frac{j}{2}]}(-1)^{[\frac{\ell}{2}]}$, we get

$$\sum_{k\in I^*_{2^r}} (-1)^{[\frac{k}{2}]}\, \xi_{k,\ell_\gamma,r}(s) = (-1)^{[\frac{\ell}{2}]}\, 2^{r-1} \sum_{j\in I^*_{2^{\nu+1}}} (-1)^{[\frac{j}{2}]} \big\{\zeta(s, \tfrac{j}{2^{\nu+2}}) - \zeta(s, 1-\tfrac{j}{2^{\nu+2}})\big\}.$$

Putting this information in (3.10), we get expression

$$\eta(s) = \sigma_\gamma\, \frac{2^{f(B)-1}}{(2^{r+1}\pi\lambda_{_B})^s} \sum_{j\in I^*_{2^{\nu+1}}} (-1)^{[\frac{j}{2}]} \big(\zeta(s, \tfrac{j}{2^{\nu+2}}) - \zeta(s, 1-\tfrac{j}{2^{\nu+2}})\big) \tag{3.11}$$

where $\sigma_\gamma = -\sigma_{v_B}(-1)^{\frac{\ell}{2}}$.

Now, denote by $F_\nu(s)$ the function given by the sum in (3.11), i.e.

$$F_\nu(s) = \sum_{j\in I^*_{2^{\nu+1}}} (-1)^{[\frac{j}{2}]} \big(\zeta(s, \tfrac{j}{2^{\nu+2}}) - \zeta(s, 1-\tfrac{j}{2^{\nu+2}})\big).$$

Note that $L(s,\chi_4) = \frac{1}{4^s}\big(\zeta(s,\frac{1}{4}) - \zeta(s,\frac{3}{4})\big)$ by (3.5). Thus, $F_0(s) = 4^s L(s,\chi_4)$ and hence (3.6) holds for $\nu = 0$ (i.e. $t = 2$).

We now consider the remaining cases, that is $\nu > 0$ (i.e. $t \ge 3$). Suppose χ is a Dirichlet character mod k. Then, there is some primitive Dirichlet character ψ mod d, with $d \mid k$

(the conductor), such that $\chi = \psi \cdot \chi_{1,k}$, where $\chi_{1,k}$ is the principal Dirichlet character mod k, and

$$L(s,\chi) = L(s,\psi)\prod_{p|k}(1-\tfrac{\chi(p)}{p^s}). \tag{3.12}$$

Now, for $t \geq 3$, let χ_{2^t} be the real Dirichlet character mod $k = 2^t$ induced by the primitive charater χ_4, that is $\chi_{2^t} = \chi_4 \cdot \chi_{1,2^t}$. Thus, $\chi_{2^t}(2j) = 0$ and $\chi_{2^t}(2j+1) = (-1)^{[\frac{j}{2}]}$.

On one hand, since $k = 2^t$, by (3.12) we have

$$L(s,\chi_{2^t}) = L(s,\chi_4)(1-\tfrac{\chi_4(2)}{2^s}) = L(s,\chi_4). \tag{3.13}$$

On the other hand, since $\chi_{2^t}(2^t - j) = \chi_{2^t}(-1)\chi_{2^t}(j) = -\chi_{2^t}(j)$, by (3.5) we have

$$L(s,\chi_{2^t}) = \tfrac{1}{(2^t)^s}\sum_{j\in I^*_{2^{t-1}}}(-1)^{[\frac{j}{2}]}\left(\zeta(s,\tfrac{j}{2^t}) - \zeta(s,1-\tfrac{j}{2^t})\right) = \tfrac{1}{(2^t)^s}F_{t-2}(s). \tag{3.14}$$

From (3.13) and (3.14), we have

$$F_\nu(s) = 2^{\nu s}L(s,\chi_{2^{\nu+2}}) = 2^{\nu s}L(s,\chi_4),$$

with $t = \nu + 2$, and therefore (3.6) holds for any $0 \leq \nu \leq r-2$.

Finally, note that by (1.2) we have $\eta(s) = \sum_{\lambda>0}(d^+_\lambda - d^-_\lambda)\lambda^{-s}$, where $d^\pm_\lambda$ is the multiplicity of $\pm\lambda$. Therefore, symmetry in the spectrum implies $\eta(s) = 0$ for every s, a contradiction. Thus the spectrum is asymmetric and the result follows. □

Notice that, by (3.3), the dependence of $\eta(s)$ on the metric is given by the numbers $\nu \in \mathbb{N}_0$ (with $\ell_\gamma = 2^\nu \ell$, ℓ odd) and $\lambda_B = \|v_B\| \in \mathbb{R}$ where $(\Lambda^*)^B = \mathbb{Z}v_B$ and $\gamma = BL_b$ is the generator of $\Lambda\backslash\Gamma$.

Remark 3.3. Expression (3.6) for $\eta(s)$ in Theorem 3.2 is very simple, compare with the general expression (3.3). By (3.10), a priori, all the functions $\zeta(s,\frac{1}{2^t}), \zeta(s,\frac{3}{2^t}), \ldots, \zeta(s,\frac{2^t-1}{2^t})$ should appear in $\eta(s)$, for every $2 \leq t \leq r$. However, as the proof of the theorem shows, great cancellations take place and Hurwitz zeta functions for only one t, namely $\zeta(s,\frac{j}{2^{\nu+2}})$ for $j = 1,3,5,\ldots,2^{\nu+2}-1$, contribute to $\eta(s)$.

Remark 3.4. Let M_Γ be an orientable $\mathbb{Z}_{2^r}$-manifold, $r \geq 2$, of dimension $n = 2m+1$, m odd, with $\Gamma = \langle BL_b, L_\Lambda\rangle$ and $n_B = 1$. Suppose $B = \mathrm{diag}(B',1)$ with $B' \in \mathrm{SO}(n-1)$ and

$$\Lambda = \Lambda' \overset{\perp}{\bigoplus} \mathbb{Z}e_n \tag{3.15}$$

with Λ' a B'-stable lattice in $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$. In this case, $\ell_\gamma = \ell$ is odd, that is $\nu = 0$, for if not Γ would have torsion elements other than the identity. This is the case, for instance, for the tetracosm M_1 (see (3.18)), the $\mathbb{Z}_{2^r}$-manifolds in family $\mathcal{F}$ (see Section 4) and for the exceptional $\mathbb{Z}_p$-manifolds, p odd prime (see [**7**], Proposition 2.2). This is not however the case in general, as Example 3.11 below shows.

Remark 3.5. By (the proof of) Theorem 3.2 and its previous results, the eta function of an n-dimensional $\mathbb{Z}_{2^r}$-manifold ($n = 4h-1$) is non-trivial if and only if $r \geq 2$ and $c_1 = 1$, $c_2 = 0$, $c_{2^r}, c_4 \geq 1$ with c_4 odd. Hence, by (2.2),

$$p_{_B}(x) = \Phi_1(x)\Phi_4(x)^{c_4}\Phi_{2^r}(x)^{c_{2^r}}g(x)$$

where $g(x)=\prod_{i=3}^{r-1}\Phi_{2^i}(x)^{c_{2^i}}$ with $c_{2^i}\geq 0$, $i=3,\dots,r-1$.

Definition 3.6. For any fixed $r\geq 2$, let n_r be the *minimal dimension* for a $\mathbb{Z}_{2^r}$-manifold having non-trivial eta function.

Thus, $n_2=3$ for $\mathbb{Z}_4$-manifolds and for $r\geq 3$ we have

$$n_r=\min_{B\in G^*_{n,r}}\{\deg p_{_B}\}=2^{r-1}+3,\qquad r\geq 3, \tag{3.16}$$

where $G^*_{n,r}=\{B\in \mathrm{GL}(n): o(B)=2^r \text{ and } B \text{ has no } (-1)\text{-eigenvalues}\}$, corresponding to the decomposition $p_{_B}(x)=\Phi_1(x)\Phi_4(x)\Phi_{2^r}(x)=(x-1)(x^2+1)(x^{2^{r-1}}+1)$ in the above remark, that is $g(x)=1$ (i.e. $c_8=c_{16}=\cdots=c_{2^{r-1}}=0$). In the next section we will show that $\mathbb{Z}_{2^r}$-manifolds having non-trivial eta function exist for every $r\geq 2$ and in every dimension $n\geq n_r+4k$, $k\geq 0$.

3.2. **Eta invariant and examples.** As a direct consequence of Theorem 3.2 we obtain the η-invariant of any n-dimensional $\mathbb{Z}_{2^r}$-manifold. It is, up to a sign, a positive power of 2 which does not depend on n nor on r, but on the nature of the integral holonomy representation only.

Proposition 3.7. *Let M_Γ be a $\mathbb{Z}_{2^r}$-manifold of dimension $n\equiv 3$ mod 4 with $F=\langle B\rangle$ and put $\ell_\gamma=2^\nu\ell$ with $0\leq\nu\leq r-2$ and ℓ odd. If $n_B>1$ or $r=1$, then $\eta=0$. If $n_B=1$ and $r\geq 2$, then*

$$\eta(M_\Gamma)=\sigma_\gamma\, 2^{f(B)-2}\in\mathbb{Z}, \tag{3.17}$$

where $\sigma_\gamma=-\sigma_{v_B}\,(-1)^{[\frac{\ell}{2}]}\in\{\pm 1\}$ and $f(B)$ is defined in (2.3).

Proof. By (3.6), we can get the η-invariant simply by evaluation of $\eta(s)$ at $s=0$, using that $\zeta(0,a)=\frac{1}{2}-a$. Thus,

$$\eta(M_\Gamma)=\eta(0)=\sigma_\gamma\, 2^{f(B)-1}\{(\tfrac{1}{2}-\tfrac{1}{4})-(\tfrac{1}{2}-\tfrac{3}{4})\}=\sigma_\gamma\, 2^{f(B)-2}.$$

We have $c_{2^r}, c_4\geq 1$, by the comments after (3.8), and hence $f(B)\geq 2$. Thus, $\eta(M_\Gamma)\in\mathbb{Z}$, and the result follows. □

Remark 3.8. (i) Expression (3.17) is very simple (compare with the general formula (3.4)).

(ii) The η-invariant is, up to sign, determined by $f(B)$. To compute this number, one only needs to know the integral holonomy representation. In given examples, one can use some mathematical software to compute the characteristic polynomial $p_{_B}(x)$. To determine the sign, one needs to know γ and Λ explicitly.

(iii) Clearly, $\eta(s)$ determines the η-invariant. The converse is not true in general, because of the dependence on ν and λ_B in (3.17). However, $\eta(s)=0$ if and only if $\eta=0$.

(iv) By (3.17), the *reduced eta invariant* $\bar\eta=\frac{1}{2}(\eta+d_0)$ mod $\mathbb{Z}$ of any $\mathbb{Z}_{2^r}$-manifold is either 0 or $\frac{1}{2}$. Also, $d_0=\dim\ker\mathcal{D}$ can be computed by using Theorem 3.5 and Proposition 3.7 in [**14**].

In dimension 3, there are $\mathbb{Z}_{2^r}$-manifolds for $r=1,2$ only. Up to diffeomorphism, there are three $\mathbb{Z}_2$-manifolds and there is only one $\mathbb{Z}_4$-manifold, given by

$$M_1=\Gamma\backslash\mathbb{R}^3,\quad \Gamma=\langle\gamma=BL_{\frac{e_3}{4}},L_{\mathbb{Z}^3}\rangle,\quad B=\mathrm{diag}(J_1,1),\quad J_1=\begin{pmatrix}&1\\-1&\end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.18}$$

This manifold, known as the *tetracosm* after [**19**], gives a nice example in spectral geometry, being one of the 'spectral twins', i.e. the only two isospectral-on-functions and non-isometric compact 3-manifolds ([**5**]).

Corollary 3.9. *Let M_Γ be a $\mathbb{Z}_{2^r}$-manifold of dimension $n \equiv 3$ mod 4. If $n \geq 7$ then $\eta \in 2\mathbb{Z}$. If $n = 3$ then $\eta = 0$ for $\mathbb{Z}_2$-manifolds and $\eta = \pm 1$ for $\mathbb{Z}_4$-manifolds.*

Proof. We know that $f(B) \geq 2$ and, by (3.17), $\eta(M_\Gamma) \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ if and only if $f(B) \geq 3$. By Proposition 2.3 we have $c_{2^r}, c_1 \geq 1$. By Remark 3.5, $\eta \neq 0$ if and only if $r \geq 2$ and $c_1 = 0$, $c_2 = 0$, $c_4, c_{2^r} \geq 1$. Thus, in dimension 7, if $\eta \neq 0$, we have $c_1, c_4, c_{2^r} \geq 1$ with $r > 2$, and hence $\eta \in 2\mathbb{Z}$. On the other hand, $f(B) = 2$ if and only if $r = 2$ and $c_4 = 1$ (i.e. $r = 2$, $m = 1$) and thus M_Γ is a 3-dimensional $\mathbb{Z}_4$-manifold. Thus, M_Γ is diffeomorphic to the tetracosm M_1 defined in (3.18). It is known that $\eta(M_1) = -1$ (see [**15**, §3.1]). Since the η-invariant is preserved by diffeomorphisms up to sign, and this sign changes with a change of orientation (see [**1**]), the result readily follows. □

Next, we will illustrate with two examples the method for computing η-invariants given by Proposition 3.7.

Example 3.10 (*tetracosm*)**.** We now compute the $\eta(s)$ and η-invariant of M_1 (see (3.18)).

(i) Note that $p_B(x) = (x-1)(x^2+1)$, hence $f(B) = 2$ and $\eta(M_1) = -\sigma_B(-1)^{[\ell_\gamma/2]}$. Also, $v_B = e_3$ and $x_B = x(\frac{\pi}{2})$, then $\sigma_B = 1$ and $\ell_\gamma = 1$. Thus $\eta(M_1) = -1$ and

$$\eta(s) = \tfrac{-2}{(8\pi)^s}\{\zeta(s, \tfrac{1}{4}) - \zeta(s, \tfrac{3}{4})\}.$$

(ii) Suppose now that we take $\gamma^3 = B^3 L_{\frac{3}{4}e_3}$ as the generator of Γ. Since $B^3 = \mathrm{diag}(-J_1, 1)$, we have $x_{B^3} = x(-\frac{\pi}{2})$, hence $\sigma_{B^3} = -\sigma_B = -1$, and $\ell_{\gamma^3} = 3$. Thus, we also get $\eta(M_1) = -1$. On the other hand, let $M_1' = \Gamma'\backslash\mathbb{R}^3$, with $\Gamma' = \langle \gamma' = B^3 L_{\frac{1}{4}e_3}, L_{\mathbb{Z}^3}\rangle$. We have $\sigma_{B^3} = -1$ and $\ell_\gamma = 1$ and thus $\eta(M_1') = 1$. Taking $(\gamma')^3 = (B^3)^3 L_{\frac{3}{4}e_3} = BL_{\frac{3}{4}e_3}$ as the generator of Γ', we also get $\eta(M_1') = 1$.

(iii) Any diffeomorphism between cfm's is given by conjugation of the corresponding Bieberbach groups by an element in the affine group. Suppose that $C(BL_b)C^{-1} = B'L_b$ with $C \in \mathrm{GL}(3)$. Then, $CBC^{-1}L_{Cb} = B^3 L_b$, i.e. $CBC^{-1} = B^3$ and $Cb = b$. Then, one can take $C = \mathrm{diag}(1, -1, 1)$ and thus $\varphi_C(M_1) = C\Gamma C^{-1}\backslash\mathbb{R}^3 = \Gamma'\backslash\mathbb{R}^3 = M_1'$. Since $\det C = -1$, φ_C is an orientation reversing diffeomorphism between M_1 and M_1', and $M_1' = M_1^-$, the tetracosm with the opposite orientation. We saw in (i) that $\eta(M_1) = -\eta(M_1^-)$.

In Section 4 we will define a family of $\mathbb{Z}_{2^r}$-manifolds (with $\eta \neq 0$) having integral holonomy representations of a special kind (see (4.1), (4.2)), that we will refer to as *regular $\mathbb{Z}_{2^r}$-representations*. It is difficult, in general, to construct non-regular $\mathbb{Z}_{2^r}$-representations. One way to do that, is to look up at $\mathbb{Z}_{2^r}$-manifolds in the classification of low dimensional cfm's (dim ≤ 7) in CARAT [**2**], and assemble some different representations together (taking some care with the lattices). However, the resulting associated $\mathbb{Z}_{2^r}$-manifold will have $\eta = 0$, in general. In the next example we construct a $\mathbb{Z}_8$-manifold having non-regular integral representation with $\eta \neq 0$.

Example 3.11 ($\mathbb{Z}_8$*-manifold, integral holonomy representation,* $\eta \neq 0$)**.** Consider the matrix $\tilde{B} = \mathrm{diag}(K, J_1) \in \mathrm{SL}(5,\mathbb{Z}) \times \mathrm{SO}(2,\mathbb{Z}) \subset \mathrm{GL}(7,\mathbb{Z})$, where

$$K = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \text{and} \qquad J_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is immediate to check that K has order 8, hence with eigenvalues $\pm e^{\frac{\pi i}{4}}, \pm e^{\frac{3\pi i}{4}}, 1$ and that J_1 has order 4 with eigenvalues $\pm i$. Take the lattice $\Lambda = \Lambda_5 \oplus \Lambda_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^7$, where $\Lambda_5 = \mathbb{Z}f_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}f_5$ is K-stable and $\Lambda_2 = \mathbb{Z}e_6 \oplus \mathbb{Z}e_7$. Also, one checks that $n_{\tilde{B}} = n_K = 1$ with $\Lambda^{\tilde{B}} = \Lambda^K = \mathbb{Z}(f_1 - f_5)$. We claim that

$$\tilde{\Gamma} = \langle \tilde{\gamma} = \tilde{B} L_{\frac{1}{2}f_1}, L_\Lambda \rangle$$

is a discrete cocompact torsion-free subgroup of $\mathrm{Aff}(\mathbb{R}^7)$. In fact, the lattice Λ_5 exists, since K appears as a subrepresentation of the 6-dimensional Bieberbach group with point group $\mathbb{Z}_8$ given by the $\mathbb{Z}$-class labelled 468.1.2 in [**2**]. Also, by looking at the Biberbach group with holonomy group $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_8$ given by the $\mathbb{Z}$-class labelled 4407.1.3, one deduces that $\frac{1}{2}f_1$ can be used as translation vector for K (and $\tilde{B}$), hence giving rise to a torsion-free group.

Since $\tilde{B}$ is conjugate in $\mathrm{GL}(7)$ to $B = \mathrm{diag}(x(\frac{\pi}{4}), x(\frac{3\pi}{4}), x(\frac{\pi}{2}), 1) \in T_7 \subset \mathrm{SO}(7)$ (or to $B' = \mathrm{diag}(x(\frac{-\pi}{4}), x(\frac{3\pi}{4}), x(\frac{\pi}{2}), 1)$, hence $\sigma_{v_B} = 1$ or -1, respectively), there exists $C \in \mathrm{GL}(7)$ such that $C\tilde{B}C^{-1} = B$. In this way, we have that

$$\Gamma = C\tilde{\Gamma}C^{-1} = \langle \gamma = \tilde{B} L_{\frac{1}{2}Cf_1}, L_{C\Lambda} \rangle \subset \mathrm{I}^+(\mathbb{R}^7)\,,$$

and hence $\tilde{\Gamma}$ is a 7-dimensional Biberbach group. It is thus clear that $M = \tilde{\Gamma}\backslash\mathbb{R}^7$ is an orientable $\mathbb{Z}_8$-manifold having integral holonomy representation given by the matrix B.

Now, one has that $f(B) = 3$, since

$$p_B(x) = p_K(x)p_{J_1}(x) = \big((x-1)(x^4+1)\big)(x^2+1) = \Phi_1(x)\Phi_4(x)\Phi_8(x),$$

and $\eta(M) = \pm 2$, by (3.17). The sign $\sigma_\gamma = \pm 1$ can be determined provided one knows C and Λ_5 explicitly.

Remark 3.12. In [**20**], by using results in [**4**], the η-invariant of 7-dimensional cfm's M having cyclic holonomy group with a special holonomy representation are computed. The expression for $\eta(M)$ involves sums of products of cotangents at special angles. For such M, Theorem 1 claims that $\eta(M) \in \mathbb{Z}$. However, the integrality of η comes out after computations with 'Mathematica'. There are 126 non-diffeomorphic $\mathbb{Z}_{2^r}$-manifolds in this family (roughly $\frac{1}{3}$ of the total), involving only $r = 1, 2, 3$. Proposition 3.7 assures that indeed $\eta \in \mathbb{Z}$ for these $\mathbb{Z}_{2^r}$-manifolds, and also allows one to compute the η-invariant in the cases not covered by the mentioned theorem (see the table before Ex. 2 in [**20**]).

4. A distinguished family of $\mathbb{Z}_{2^r}$-manifolds

4.1. **The family $\mathcal{F}$.** For any $r \in \mathbb{N}$, we will construct an infinite family of orientable $\mathbb{Z}_{2^r}$-manifolds in dimensions $n = 4h - 1$, $h \geq 1$, each having irreducible integral holonomy

representation with $F \subset \mathrm{SO}(n,\mathbb{Z})$. For $r \in \mathbb{N}$, let I_r be the $r \times r$ identity matrix and put

$$C_r = \begin{pmatrix} & & & -1 \\ & & & \\ & I_{2^r-1} & & \end{pmatrix} \qquad \text{and} \qquad J_r = \begin{pmatrix} & & & J_{r-1} \\ & & & \\ & I_{2^r} & & \end{pmatrix} \tag{4.1}$$

where $J_0 = (-1)$. Thus, for instance, $C_1 = \begin{pmatrix} & -1 \\ I_1 & \end{pmatrix} = J_1$, $J_2 = \begin{pmatrix} & J_1 \\ I_2 & \end{pmatrix}$ and $J_3 = \begin{pmatrix} & & J_1 \\ & I_2 & \\ I_4 & & \end{pmatrix}$. It is easy to check that $C_r, J_r \in \mathrm{SO}(2^r,\mathbb{Z})$. For instance, for the $r \le 3$ we have

r	C_r	J_r	order	size
1	$\begin{pmatrix} & -1 \\ 1 & \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} & -1 \\ 1 & \end{pmatrix}$	4	2
2	$\begin{pmatrix} & & -1 \\ 1 & & \\ & 1 & \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} & & -1 \\ 1 & & \\ & 1 & \end{pmatrix}$	8	4
3	$\begin{pmatrix} & & & & & & -1 \\ 1 & & & & & & \\ & 1 & & & & & \\ & & 1 & & & & \\ & & & 1 & & & \\ & & & & 1 & & \\ & & & & & 1 & \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{pmatrix} & & & & & & -1 \\ & & & & & 1 & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & 1 & & \\ 1 & & & & & & \\ & 1 & & & & & \\ & & 1 & & & & \end{pmatrix}$	16	8

Since C_r is the companion matrix of the 2^{r+1}-th cyclotomic polynomial $\Phi_{2^{r+1}}(x) = x^{2^r}+1$, it has order $o(C_r) = 2^{r+1}$ and its eigenvalues are the primitive 2^{r+1}-th roots of unity. Similarly, one can check that J_r has order 2^{r+1} and is conjugate to C_r in $\mathrm{GL}(2^r,\mathbb{R})$, hence with the same eigenvalues as C_r. Thus, the rotation angles for both C_r and J_r are

$$\tfrac{\pi}{2^r}, \tfrac{3\pi}{2^r}, \tfrac{5\pi}{2^r}, \ldots, \tfrac{(2^r-1)\pi}{2^r}\,.$$

Since C_r and J_r do not have ±1-eigenvalues, we have $n_{\pm C_r} = n_{\pm J_r} = 0$, for every $r \ge 2$.

For any integer $r \ge 2$ and $j_{r-1}, \ldots, j_1 \in \mathbb{N}_0$, with $j_{r-1} > 0$, we put $j(r) = (j_{r-1}, \ldots, j_2, j_1)$ and define the matrices

$$\begin{aligned} B_{j(r)} &= \mathrm{diag}\big(\underbrace{C_{r-1},\ldots,C_{r-1}}_{j_{r-1}},\ldots,\underbrace{C_1,\ldots,C_1}_{j_1},1\big), \\ B'_{j(r)} &= \mathrm{diag}\big(\underbrace{J_{r-1},\ldots,J_{r-1}}_{j_{r-1}},\ldots,\underbrace{J_1,\ldots,J_1}_{j_1},1\big). \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

It is clear that $B_{j(r)}$ and $B'_{j(r)}$ have order 2^r and belong to $\mathrm{SO}(n,\mathbb{Z})$, where

$$n = 2^{r-1}j_{r-1} + \cdots + 4j_2 + 2j_1 + 1 = 2(2^{r-2}j_{r-1} + \cdots + 2j_2 + j_1) + 1\,. \tag{4.3}$$

Thus, $n = 2m+1$ with $m = 2^{r-2}j_{r-1} + \cdots + 2j_2 + j_1$, and m is odd if and only if j_1 is odd.

More generally, for each r, n and $j_{r-1}, \ldots, j_1$ satisfying (4.3) we can take pairs $k_i, k'_i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $k_i + k'_i = j_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, r-1$ and define

$$B_{k(r)} = \mathrm{diag}\big(\underbrace{C_{r-1},\ldots,C_{r-1}}_{k_{r-1}},\underbrace{J_{r-1},\ldots,J_{r-1}}_{k'_{r-1}},\ldots,\underbrace{C_1,\ldots,C_1}_{k_1},\underbrace{J_1,\ldots,J_1}_{k'_1},1\big)\,. \tag{4.4}$$

Since $C_1 = J_1$, for simplicity we will take $k_1 = j_1$ and $k'_1 = 0$. In this way, $B_{k(r)} = B_{j(r)}$ if $k(r) = (j_{r-1}, 0, \ldots, j_2, 0, j_1)$ and $B_{k(r)} = B'_{j(r)}$ if $k(r) = (0, j_{r-1}, \ldots, 0, j_2, j_1)$. Also, $n_B = 1$ and x_B is as given in (3.7) with $c_{2^i+1} = j_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, r-1$, that is

$$x_B = x_{j_{r-1},\ldots,j_1} = x(\underbrace{\tfrac{\pi}{2^{r-1}}, \tfrac{3\pi}{2^{r-1}}, \ldots, \tfrac{(2^{r-1}-1)\pi}{2^{r-1}}}_{j_{r-1}}, \ldots, \underbrace{\tfrac{\pi}{8}, \tfrac{3\pi}{8}, \tfrac{5\pi}{8}, \tfrac{7\pi}{8}}_{j_3}, \underbrace{\tfrac{\pi}{4}, \tfrac{3\pi}{4}}_{j_2}, \underbrace{\tfrac{\pi}{2}}_{j_1}). \tag{4.5}$$

Define the Bieberbach groups

$$\Gamma_{k(r)} := \langle \gamma = B_{k(r)} L_{b_r}, L_\Lambda \rangle, \qquad b_r = \tfrac{1}{2^r} e_n \tag{4.6}$$

where j_1 is odd and $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}e_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}e_n$ is the canonical lattice in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Since $B_{k(r)} \in \mathrm{SO}(n)$ and $F = \langle B_{k(r)} \rangle \simeq \mathbb{Z}_{2^r}$, we have the associated orientable $\mathbb{Z}_{2^r}$-manifold

$$M_{k(r)} := \Gamma_{k(r)} \backslash \mathbb{R}^n \tag{4.7}$$

of dimension $n = 2m + 1 \equiv 3 \mod 4$.

Definition 4.1. For a fixed r, let $\mathcal{F}_r$ denote the set of all $\mathbb{Z}_{2^r}$-manifolds as in (4.7) and let

$$\mathcal{F} = \bigcup_{r=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_r. \tag{4.8}$$

Also, put $\mathcal{F}(n) = \{M \in \mathcal{F} : \dim M = n\}$ and $\mathcal{F}_r(n) = \mathcal{F}_r \cap \mathcal{F}(n)$.

Then, we have that $\mathcal{F}(n)$ consists of $\mathbb{Z}_{2^r}$-manifolds with $1 \le r \le t = \lceil \log_2 n \rceil$. In other words, if $2^{t-1} < n \le 2^t - 1$,

$$\mathcal{F}(n) = \mathcal{F}_1(n) \cup \mathcal{F}_2(n) \cup \cdots \cup \mathcal{F}_t(n).$$

Moreover, for any fixed r, the number of $\mathbb{Z}_{2^r}$-manifolds in dimension n of the form $M_{j(r)}$ equals the number of partitions of n into the first r-powers of two, i.e., 2^t with $0 \le t \le r-1$. This number is known as the *binary partition function* and is denoted by $b(n)$. Since $j_1 \ge 1$, we have that $\#\mathcal{F}_r(n) \ge b(n-3)$. Mahler showed that the logarithm of $b(n)$ grows like $(\log n)^2 / 2 \log 2$ as n grows to infinity ([**10**], see also [**17**]). Thus, asymptotically, we have

$$\#\mathcal{F}_r(n) \sim (n-3)^{\sqrt{\log_2(n-3)}}.$$

On the other hand note that, for a given r, the minimal dimension for $M \in \mathcal{F}_r$ is $n = 2^{r-1} + 3$ for $r \ge 3$ (since $j_{r-1}, j_1 \ge 1$ and $j_i = 0$ for $2 \le i \le r-2$) and $n = 3$ for $r = 2$ (since $j_{r-1} = j_1$ in this case). Thus, $\min\{\dim M : M \in \mathcal{F}_r\} = n_r$.

Example 4.2. We now describe the manifolds in $\mathcal{F}$ in the lowest dimensions. There is only one 3-manifold in $\mathcal{F}$, the tetracosm M_1 in (3.18). In dimension 7, there are 3 manifolds in $\mathcal{F}$; two $\mathbb{Z}_8$-manifolds $M_{1,0,1}, M_{0,1,1}$, determined by $\mathrm{diag}(J_2, J_1, 1)$, $\mathrm{diag}(C_2, J_1, 1)$, and the $\mathbb{Z}_4$-manifold M_3 given by $\mathrm{diag}(J_1, J_1, J_1, 1)$. In dimension 11, we have 8 manifolds in $\mathcal{F}$. Two $\mathbb{Z}_{16}$-manifolds $M_{1,0,0,0,1}, M_{0,1,0,0,1}$, given by the matrices $\mathrm{diag}(C_3, J_1, 1)$, $\mathrm{diag}(J_3, J_1, 1)$; five $\mathbb{Z}_8$-manifolds $M_{2,0,1}$, $M_{1,1,1}$, $M_{0,2,1}$, $M_{1,0,3}$, $M_{0,1,3}$ given respectively by $\mathrm{diag}(C_2, C_2, J_1, 1)$, $\mathrm{diag}(C_2, J_2, J_1, 1)$, $\mathrm{diag}(J_2, J_2, J_1, 1)$, $\mathrm{diag}(C_2, J_1, J_1, J_1, 1)$, $\mathrm{diag}(J_2, J_1, J_1, J_1, 1)$; and the $\mathbb{Z}_4$-manifold M_5 determined by $\mathrm{diag}(J_1, J_1, J_1, J_1, J_1, 1)$.

4.2. **Homology and η-invariants.** We will now compute the first integral homology and cohomology groups for all the manifolds in $\mathcal{F}$, showing that $H_1(M, \mathbb{Z})$ has only 2-torsion.

Theorem 4.3. *Let $M = M_{k(r)} \in \mathcal{F}$. Then*

$$H_1(M, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2^{j_{r-1} + \cdots + j_1} \tag{4.9}$$

with $j_i = k_i + k_i'$ for $1 \le i \le r-1$, and

$$H^1(M, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}, \qquad H^1(M, \mathbb{Z}_2) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2^{j_{r-1} + \cdots + j_1 + 1}. \tag{4.10}$$

Proof. We will first compute $H_1(M_\Gamma,\mathbb{Z}) = \Gamma/[\Gamma,\Gamma]$, where $\Gamma = \Gamma_{k(r)} = \langle \gamma, L_{e_1},\dots,L_{e_n}\rangle$. There are 3 kind of commutators: $[L_\lambda, L_{\lambda'}] = I$, $[\gamma, L_\lambda]$ and $[\gamma,\gamma']$. Since F is cyclic, every element in Γ is of the form $\gamma^i L_\lambda$ and thus $[\gamma^i L_\lambda, \gamma^j L'_\lambda] = [\gamma^i,\gamma^j][L_\lambda, L_{\lambda'}] = I$ for every $i,j\in\mathbb{Z}$, $\lambda,\lambda'\in\Lambda$. Also, $[\gamma, L_\lambda] = BL_bL_\lambda L_{-b}B^{-1}L_{-\lambda} = L_{B\lambda-\lambda}$. Therefore,

$$[\Gamma,\Gamma] = \langle [\gamma, L_\lambda] : \lambda\in\Lambda\rangle = L_{(B-I)\Lambda},$$

We now study the action of each of the blocks C_i and J_i on $\Lambda_i\subseteq\Lambda$, with $\Lambda_i\simeq\mathbb{Z}^{2^i}$. For every $1\le i\le r-1$, let $e_1,\dots,e_{2^i}$ be any $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of Λ_i. For C_i, we have

$$(C_i - I_{2^i})e_j = e_{j+1} - e_j \quad 1\le j\le 2^i-1 \qquad \text{and} \qquad (C_i - I_{2^i})e_{2^i} = -e_1 - e_{2^i}.$$

By putting $f_j = e_{j+1} - e_j$ for $1\le j\le 2^i-1$ and $f_{2^i} = -e_1 - e_{2^i}$, we have that

$$(f_1+\cdots+f_{j-1}) - (f_j+\cdots+f_{2^i}) = 2e_j, \qquad 1\le j\le 2^i,$$

and thus $2e_j\in L_{(C_i-I_{2^i})\Lambda_i}$ for every $1\le j\le 2^i$. Furthermore, since $L_{e_j} - L_{e_{j-1}}\in[\Gamma,\Gamma]$ for $1\le j\le 2^i$, we have that $L_{e_j}\sim L_{e_k}$, $1\le j,k\le 2^i$, in the quotient $\Gamma/[\Gamma,\Gamma]$. Therefore,

$$\langle L_{e_1},\dots,L_{e_{2^i}}\rangle/\langle L_{f_1},\dots,L_{f_{2^i}}\rangle\simeq\mathbb{Z}_2,$$

and thus, every block C_i gives rise to one $\mathbb{Z}_2$ in the quotient $\Gamma/L_{(B-I)\Lambda}$.

On the other hand, for the block J_i, it will be convenient to look up at the e_j's with j in the intervals $1\le j\le 2^{i-1}$, $2^{i-1}+1\le j\le 2^{i-1}+2^{i-2}$, $2^{i-1}+2^{i-2}+1\le j\le 2^{i-1}+2^{i-2}+2^{i-3}$, and so on. We then have

$$(J_i - I_{2^i})e_{j(l,k)} = e_{2^{i-l}+k} - e_{j(l,k)}, \qquad 1\le k\le 2^i,\ 1\le l\le r,$$

where

$$j(l,k) = 2^{i-1} + 2^{i-2} + \cdots + 2^{i-(l-1)} + k.$$

As before, by putting $g_j = e_{2^{i-l}+k} - e_{j(l,k)}$ and looking at the sums of the form $\sum_j \pm g_j$ one sees that $2e_j\in L_{(J_i-I_{2^i})\Lambda_i}$ for every $1\le j\le 2^i$. Also, we again have that $L_{e_j}\sim L_{e_k}\in[\Gamma,\Gamma]$ for $1\le j,k\le 2^i$. Thus, every block J_i induces a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ in the quotient $\Gamma/L_{(B-I)\Lambda}$.

Moreover, since $\gamma^{2^r} = L_{e_n}$, it is clear that $\langle\gamma, L_{e_n}\rangle$ generates an infinite cyclic group in $\Gamma/L_{(B-I)\Lambda}$. As a result of all these observations we get expression (4.9).

Now, it is known that $H^n(M,\mathbb{Z})\simeq F_n\oplus T_{n-1}$, where F_n and T_n are the free and the torsion part of $H_n(M,\mathbb{Z})$, respectively. Since $H_0(M,\mathbb{Z})\simeq\mathbb{Z}$, by (4.9) we get $H^1(M,\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$, as desired. Finally, by the universal coefficient theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} H^1(M,\mathbb{Z}_2) &\simeq \mathrm{Hom}(H_1(M,\mathbb{Z}),\mathbb{Z}_2)\oplus\mathrm{Ext}(H_0(M,\mathbb{Z}),\mathbb{Z}_2) \\ &\simeq \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}\oplus\mathbb{Z}^{j_{r-1}+\cdots+j_2+j_1},\mathbb{Z}_2)\,, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used that $\mathrm{Ext}(H_0(M,\mathbb{Z}),\mathbb{Z}_2)\simeq\mathrm{Ext}(\mathbb{Z},\mathbb{Z}_2)\simeq 0$, for $\mathbb{Z}$ is projective. Finally, since $\mathrm{Hom}(\oplus G_i, G) = \bigoplus\mathrm{Hom}(G_i,G)$ and $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{Z},\mathbb{Z}_2) = \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}_2,\mathbb{Z}_2) = \mathbb{Z}_2$ we obtain that $H^1(M,\mathbb{Z}_2)\simeq\mathbb{Z}_2^{j_{r-1}+\cdots+j_2+j_1+1}$, and the proof is now complete. □

As a result, we can count the number of spin structures of the manifolds in $\mathcal{F}$.

Corollary 4.4. *Every $M = M_{k(r)}\in\mathcal{F}$ is spin and has $2^{j_{r-1}+\cdots+j_1+1}$ spin structures.*

Proof. By applying the methods used in **[11]** or **[18]** (see Theorem 2.1 or Proposition 2.2, respectively, and their previous comments), one can prove that M is a spin manifold. The number of spin structures of M is then given by $\#H^1(M,\mathbb{Z}_2) = 2^{j_{r-1}+\cdots+j_1+1}$ (see **[8]**). □

Question 4.5. Let $a(r) = (a_{r-1}, a'_{r-1}, \ldots, a_2, a'_2, j_1)$ and $b(r) = (b_{r-1}, b'_{r-1}, \ldots, b_2, b'_2, j_1)$ be two $(2r-1)$-tuples satisfying $a_i + a'_i = b_i + b'_i = j_i$ for $2 \le i \le r-1$, but with $a(r) \ne b(r)$. Then, $M_{a(r)}$ and $M_{b(r)}$ have, in general, different integral representations. Since they have the same eigenvalues, C_r and J_r are conjugate in $\mathbb{R}$. Are they still conjugate in $\mathbb{Z}$? In other words, are $M_{a(r)}$ and $M_{b(r)}$ equivalent as compact flat manifolds? Theorem 4.3 gives no answer to this question.

Remark 4.6. In **[18]**, (2.1)–(2.3), we have defined a family $\mathcal{F}^n = \{M_{j,k,l}\}$ of n-dimensional $\mathbb{Z}_4$-manifolds, $n = 2m+1$, and we obtained that $H_1(M_{j,k,l},\mathbb{Z}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^l \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2^{j+k}$ (see Lemma 2.1). If m is odd and $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}^n$, the manifolds $M_{j,0,1} \in \mathcal{F}^n$ are exactly the $\mathbb{Z}_4$-manifolds of the form $M_{j(r)} = M_j \in \mathcal{F}_2$ in this paper. This is in agreement with (4.9). Corollary 4.4 says, for example, that the tetracosm M_1 has $2^{1+1} = 4$ spin structures. This is also in coincidence with Proposition 2.2 and Corollary 2.3 in **[18]**.

Remark 4.7. It is possible to define a bigger family $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$, using matrices of order ≤ 2. That is, we can add 2×2 blocks $J = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} & 1 \\ 1 & \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ and $\pm I = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \pm 1 & \\ & \pm 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. Thus, consider the matrix

$$B_{\kappa(r)} := \operatorname{diag}\big(B'_{k(r)}, \underbrace{J,\ldots,J}_{k_0}, \underbrace{-I,\ldots,-I}_{k'_0}, \underbrace{I,\ldots,I}_{i_0}, 1\big)$$

with k_0, k'_0 even and where $B'_{k(r)}$ denotes the matrix $B_{k(r)}$ in (4.4) with the 1 in the last position removed. Similarly as in (4.6)–(4.7) we define the group $\Gamma_{\kappa(r)}$ and the corresponding $\mathbb{Z}_{2^r}$-manifold $M_{\kappa(r)}$ of dimension $n = 2^{r-1}j_{r-1} + \cdots + 4j_2 + 2(j_1 + j_0 + i_0) + 1$, where $j_0 = k_0 + k'_0$. Hence, $j_1 + i_0$ must be odd for n to be congruent to 3 mod 4. For $M_{\kappa(r)} \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}$, proceeding similarly as in the proof of Theorem 4.3, one can obtain

$$H_1(M_{\kappa(r)},\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}_2^{j_{r-1}+\cdots+j_1+k'_0} \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{k_0+i_0+1}.$$

Similar results can be obtained for $H^1(M_{\kappa(r)},\mathbb{Z})$ and $H^1(M_{\kappa(r)},\mathbb{Z}_2)$. However, we have $\eta(M_{\kappa(r)}) = 0$ unless $M_{\kappa(r)} \in \mathcal{F}$, i.e. $j_0 = i_0 = 0$ and $M_{\kappa(r)} = M_{k(r)}$.

As a consequence of our previous results we have that, for manifolds in $\mathcal{F}$, the η-invariant has a strong topological meaning. In fact, it is related with the order of the torsion group T (which equals the 2-torsion) of the first integral homology group and with the number of spin structures.

Proposition 4.8. *If $M = M_{k(r)} \in \mathcal{F}$ then and*

$$\eta(M) = -2^{j_{r-1}+\cdots+j_1-1} = -\tfrac{1}{2}|T| = \tfrac{1}{4}\#\mathrm{Spin}(M) \ne 0, \tag{4.11}$$

where T is the torsion subgroup of $H_1(M,\mathbb{Z})$ and $\mathrm{Spin}(M) = \{$spin structures on $M\}$.

Proof. Suppose $M = M_{k(r)}$ of dimension $n = 2m+1$, with m odd, and $k_i + k'_i = j_i$ for $1 \le i \le r$. Then, $m = 2^{r-2}j_{r-1} + \cdots + 2j_2 + j_1$ with $j_i = c_{2^{i+1}}$ for $1 \le i \le r-1$. Since $v_B = e_n$ we have $\ell_\gamma = 1$, $\nu = 0$ and $\ell_{_B} = 1$, and thus the expression for $\eta(s)$ follows from (3.6). Since $\sigma_B = 1$ and $f(B) = j_{r-1} + \cdots + j_1 + 1$, by (3.17), we have $\eta = -2^{j_{r-1}+\cdots+j_1-1}$. The result readily follows from (4.9) in Theorem 4.3. □

Question 4.9. For the $\mathbb{Z}_8$-manifold M of Example 3.11, Theorem 4.3 and Proposition 4.8 do not apply. Proceeding similarly as in (the proof of) Theorem 4.3, we can check that $H_1(M,\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2^2$ and, since $\eta(M) = \pm 2$, we still have $\eta(M) = \pm\frac{1}{2}|T|$ as in (4.11). Does this phenomenon hold in general or is there a $\mathbb{Z}_{2^r}$-manifold $M \notin \mathcal{F}$ with non-trivial η-invariant such that $\eta(M) \neq -\frac{1}{2}|T|$?

Question 4.10. If M is an arbitrary $\mathbb{Z}_{2^r}$-manifold, is $\eta(M)$ completely determined by $H_1(M,\mathbb{Z})$ as it is the case for manifolds in $\mathcal{F}$? The best we can say is the statement of Lemma 5.1 below.

Remark 4.11. By definition, every $\mathbb{Z}_{2^r}$-manifold $M \in \mathcal{F}$ has a generator $\gamma = BL_b$ with $b = \frac{1}{2^r}e_n$ and hence $\ell_\gamma = 1$. For a given M, we can define M_ℓ with b replaced by $b_\ell = \frac{\ell}{2^r}e_n$, ℓ odd (these manifolds are diffeomorphic but non-isometric to each other). Clearly, we have $\ell_\gamma = \ell$ and $\eta(M_\ell) = (-1)^{[\ell/2]}\,\eta(M)$, for every ℓ odd.

Note that, for fixed r, the η-invariant determines the eta function $\eta(s)$. In fact, by Theorem 3.2 and Proposition 3.7, we have

$$\eta(s,M) = -\tfrac{2\eta(M)}{(2^{r-1-\nu}\pi\lambda_B)^s}L(s,\chi_4). \tag{4.12}$$

Since manifolds in $\mathcal{F}$ has $\nu = 0$ ($\ell_\gamma = 1$) and $\lambda_B = 1$, it is clear that if $M, M' \in \mathcal{F}_r$ then

$$\eta(M) = \eta(M') \quad \Rightarrow \quad \eta(s,M) = \eta(s,M').$$

This may not be the case in general because of the numbers r, ν and λ_B; since it could well happen that $2^{r-1-\nu}\lambda_B = 2^{r'-1-\nu'}\lambda'_B$.

5. The image of η^*

We will consider the following subfamilies of the set $\mathcal{M}$ of all $\mathbb{Z}_{2^r}$-manifolds: the subset $\mathcal{M}_r$ of $\mathbb{Z}_{2^r}$-manifolds with fixed r (arbitrary dimension), the subset $\mathcal{M}(n)$ of n-dimensional $\mathbb{Z}_{2^r}$-manifolds (r arbitrary) and the subset $\mathcal{M}_r(n) = \mathcal{M}_r \cap \mathcal{M}(n)$, with both r and n fixed. Clearly, $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{M}$, $\mathcal{F}_r \subset \mathcal{M}_r$, $\mathcal{F}(n) \subset \mathcal{M}(n)$ and $\mathcal{F}_r(n) \subset \mathcal{M}_r(n)$.

We will now study the image of the map

$$\eta^* : \mathcal{M} \to \mathbb{Z}, \qquad M \mapsto \eta(M) \tag{5.1}$$

and its restrictions η^*_r to $\mathcal{M}_r$ and $\eta^*_{(n)}$ to $\mathcal{M}(n)$. By Proposition 3.7, it is clear that

$$\operatorname{Im}\eta^* \subseteq \{0,\pm 1\} \cup \{\pm 2^k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

The extreme cases, i.e. $\mathbb{Z}_2$-manifolds and 3-dimensional $\mathbb{Z}_{2^r}$-manifolds, are trivial ones, since $\eta = 0$ if $r = 1$ and $\eta = 0, \pm 1$ if $n = 3$; i.e. $\operatorname{Im}\eta^*_1 = \{0\}$ and $\operatorname{Im}\eta^*_{(3)} = \{0,\pm 1\}$.

Since we are considering dimensions $n \equiv 3 \bmod 4$, for $M \in \mathcal{F}$, n is as given in (4.3) with j_1 odd. Out of all possible partitions of n into powers of 2, the 2-adic expansion of n is a proper partition with the minimum number of parts. Let $\tau(n)$ be this number, i.e.

$$\tau(n) = \tau \qquad \text{if} \qquad n = 2^{a_\tau} + \cdots + 2^{a_2} + 2^{a_1}, \quad 0 \leq a_1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_\tau. \tag{5.2}$$

Equivalently, if $n = b_m 2^m + \cdots + b_3 2^3 + b_2 2^2 + b_1 2 + b_0$ for some m, with $b_i \in \{0,1\}$, $1 \leq i \leq m$, then $\tau(n)$ equal the number of non zero b_i's. In our case, we have $\tau(3) = 2$ and

$\tau(n) \geq 3$ for $n \geq 7$. Furthermore, $\tau(n_r) = 3$ for any $r \geq 2$ and $\tau(n) \geq 4$ for $n \neq n_r$, where we recall that, by (3.16) and (4.3),

$$n_r = \min_{M \in \mathcal{F}_r} \{\dim M\} = \min_{M \in \mathcal{M}_r} \{\dim M : \eta(M) \neq 0\} = 2^{r-1} + 3. \tag{5.3}$$

Let us see that given a general $\mathbb{Z}_{2^r}$-manifold M with $\eta \neq 0$, the value $\eta(M)$ can be obtained by the eta invariant of some manifold in $\mathcal{F}$.

Lemma 5.1. *If $M \in \mathcal{M}$ then $\eta(M) = 0$ or $\eta(M) = \eta(M_{k(r)})$ for some $M_{k(r)} \in \mathcal{F}$.*

Proof. Let M be any orientable $\mathbb{Z}_{2^r}$-manifold of dimension n, with point group $F = \langle B \rangle$. By Proposition 3.7, $\eta(M) = 0$ if $n_B > 1$ or $r = 1$, while $\eta(M) = \pm 2^{f(B)-2}$ otherwise. Assume that $n_B = 1$ and $r \geq 2$. Since B is conjugate to $x_B = x_{j_{r-1},\dots,j_1}$ as in (4.5) (or to x'_B, see Proposition 2.2), B is also conjugate in $\mathrm{GL}(n)$ to the matrix $C_B = \mathrm{diag}(B_{j(r)}, 1)$ with $k(r) = (j_{r-1}, \dots, j_1)$ as in (4.2). Thus, $\eta(M)$ is determined (up to sign) by the number of matrices C_i or J_i, $1 \leq i \leq r-1$, in C_B. Finally, if $\eta(M) = -\eta(M_{k(r)})$, then considering $M^-_{k(r)}$ (the manifold $M_{k(r)}$ with the opposite orientation) we get $\eta(M) = \eta(M^-_{k(r)})$. □

Theorem 5.2. *Let $n = 2m+1$, with m odd, be fixed. Then, $\mathrm{Im}\,\eta^*_{(n)} = \{0\}$ for $r = 1$, $\mathrm{Im}\,\eta^*_{(n)} = \{0, \pm 2^{m-1}\}$ for $r = 2$ and*

$$\mathrm{Im}\,\eta^*_{(n)} = \{0, \pm 2^{\tau(n)-2}, \pm 2^{\tau(n)-1}, \dots, \pm 2^{m-1}\}, \qquad r \geq 3. \tag{5.4}$$

In particular, $\mathrm{Im}\,\eta^*_{(n_r)} = \{0, \pm 2, \pm 2^2, \dots, \pm 2^{2^{r-2}} = \pm 2^{\frac{n_r-3}{2}}\}$. Therefore, $\mathrm{Im}\,\eta^*_1 = \{0\}$, $\mathrm{Im}\,\eta^*_2 = \{0, \pm 4^k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ and $\mathrm{Im}\,\eta^*_r = \{0, \pm 2^k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ for $r \geq 3$.

Proof. By Lemma 5.1, we can assume that $M \in \mathcal{F}_r(n)$ and, without loss of generality, that $M = M_{j(r)}$ as in (4.2)–(4.7). By Proposition 3.7, $\mathrm{Im}\,\eta^*_{(3)} = \{0, \pm 1\}$, with the values ± 1 attained by the tetracosm. From now on, suppose that $n \geq 7$ and $\eta \neq 0$. Hence, by (3.17), $n_B = 1$ and $r \geq 2$. Fix the dimension

$$n = 2(2^{r-2} j_{r-1} + \cdots + 2j_2 + j_1) + 1 \qquad j_{r-1} > 0, \quad j_1 \text{ odd}.$$

If $r = 2$, then $n = 2j_1 + 1 = 2m + 1$ and thus $f(B) = m + 1$. Hence, $\eta(M) = \pm 2^{m-1}$ by (3.17). The positive value 2^{m-1} is attained by the manifold $M_m \in \mathcal{F}$ determined by $B_m = \mathrm{diag}(C_1, \dots, C_1, 1)$, with C_1 repeated m-times.

Let $r \geq 3$. If $n = n_r = 2^{r-1} + 3$, all the values $2, 4, 8, \dots, 2^{m-1}$ are attained by the η-invariants on the following manifolds. $M^{(1)} = M_{1,0,\dots,0,1} \in \mathcal{F}_r$ determined by the matrix $\mathrm{diag}(C_{r-1}, C_1, 1)$, has 3 blocks and hence $\eta(M^{(1)}) = 2$. By replacing the block C_{r-1} by 2 blocks C_{r-2} we get $M^{(2)} = M_{0,2,0,\dots,0,1} \in \mathcal{F}_{r-1}$ determined by $\mathrm{diag}(C_{r-2}, C_{r-2}, C_1, 1)$ with 4 blocks, and hence $\eta(M^{(2)}) = 2^{4-2} = 4$. Now, by replacing one block C_{r-2} from the previous matrix by 2 blocks C_{r-3} we get $M^{(3)} = M_{0,1,2,0,\dots,0,1} \in \mathcal{F}_{r-1}$ determined by $\mathrm{diag}(C_{r-2}, C_{r-3}, C_{r-3}, C_1, 1)$ with 5 blocks, and hence $\eta(M^{(2)}) = 2^{5-3} = 8$. It is clear that, by repeating this *'splitting block'* procedure, i.e. by replacing some block C_{j_i} of $M^{(i)}$ by 2 blocks $C_{j_i - 1}$ (this changes the η-invariant keeping the dimension unaltered), we get a finite sequence of $\mathbb{Z}_{2^t}$-manifolds

$$M^{(1)}, M^{(2)}, \dots, M^{(m-1)}$$

(with different t's, $3 \leq t \leq r$), determined by diagonal block matrices with $3, 4, \ldots, m+1$ blocks respectively, and hence, with corresponding η-invariants $2^1, 2^2, \ldots, 2^{m-1}$. Since $n = 2m+1$, we have $m = 2^{r-2}+1$ and hence $m-1 = 2^{r-2} = \frac{n_3-3}{2}$. Here, $M^{(m-1)}$ is the $\mathbb{Z}_4$-manifold determined by the matrix $\mathrm{diag}(C_1, \ldots, C_1, 1)$, with C_1 repeated m times. The splitting of blocks are not unique, but there is at least one. Also, by dimension issues, it is clear that 2^{m-1} is the maximum posible value for η.

In case $n \neq n_r$, we proceed similarly as before. We begin with $M^{(1)} = M_{j_{r-1},\ldots,j_1}$, where $j_{r-1} = j_1 = 1$ and $j_{i_1}, \ldots, j_{i_{\tau-3}} \geq 1$ with $\tau = \tau(n)$ –the other j_k's being 0–, such that

$$n = 2^{r-1} + (\sum_{k=1}^{\tau-3} 2^{i_k} j_{i_k}) + 3 = n_r + \sum_{k=1}^{\tau-3} 2^{i_k} j_{i_k}.$$

By replacing some block C_{j_i} by 2 blocks C_{j_i-1} and iterating this process, we get a sequence $M^{(1)}, M^{(2)}, \ldots, M^{(m-\tau+2)}$ of $\mathbb{Z}_{2^t}$-manifolds (with different t's, $t \leq r$), respectively determined by diagonal block matrices with $\tau, \tau+1, \ldots, m+1$ blocks, and hence with corresponding η-invariants $2^{\tau-2}, 2^{\tau-3}, \ldots, 2^{m-1}$.

To get trivial η-invariants for any n and r, just take the previous manifolds and replace one J_1 by $\pm I = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} \pm 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \pm 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ (these manifolds will be not in $\mathcal{F}$). To get the negative values, since $\eta(M^-) = -\eta(M)$, we just need to change the orientation of every M previously used.

The remaining assertions in the statement follow directly from the previous ones, and the result is thus proved. □

Example 5.3. Here, we illustrate the results in Theorem 5.2. Using (5.4), in Table 1, we give the values of $\eta(M)$ for M a $\mathbb{Z}_{2^r}$-manifold in the lowest dimensions. For each fixed value of n, we give the 2-adic expansion, $\tau(n)$, the highest possible r for a $\mathbb{Z}_{2^r}$-manifold in this dimension,and all the allowed values of η (only non-negative values for simplicity).

TABLE 1. η-invariants for $\mathbb{Z}_{2^r}$-manifolds, $3 \leq n \leq 63$.

dimension n	$\tau(n)$	$\max r$	η-invariant
$3 = 2+1 = n_2$	2	2	$0, 1$
$7 = 4+2+1 = n_3$	3	3	$0, 2, 2^2$
$11 = 8+2+1 = n_4$	3	4	$0, 2, 2^2, 2^3, 2^4$
$15 = 8+4+2+1$	4	4	$0, 2^2, 2^3, 2^4, 2^5, 2^6$
$19 = 16+2+1 = n_5$	3	5	$0, 2, 2^2, \ldots, 2^8$
$23 = 16+4+2+1$	4	5	$0, 2^2, 2^3, \ldots, 2^{10}$
$27 = 16+8+2+1$	4	5	$0, 2^2, 2^3, \ldots, 2^{12}$
$31 = 16+8+4+2+1$	5	5	$0, 2^3, 2^4, \ldots, 2^{14}$
$35 = 32+2+1 = n_6$	3	6	$0, 2, 2^2, \ldots, 2^{16}$
$39 = 32+4+2+1$	4	6	$0, 2^2, 2^3, \ldots, 2^{18}$
$43 = 32+8+2+1$	4	6	$0, 2^2, 2^3, \ldots, 2^{20}$
$47 = 32+8+4+2+1$	5	6	$0, 2^3, 2^4, \ldots, 2^{22}$
$51 = 32+16+2+1$	4	6	$0, 2^2, 2^3, \ldots, 2^{24}$
$55 = 32+16+4+2+1$	5	6	$0, 2^3, 2^4, \ldots, 2^{26}$
$59 = 32+16+8+2+1$	5	6	$0, 2^3, 2^4, \ldots, 2^{28}$
$63 = 32+16+8+4+2+1$	6	6	$0, 2^4, 2^5, \ldots, 2^{30}$

We will show that there exists an infinite number of infinite families of $\mathbb{Z}_{2^r}$-manifolds in $\mathcal{F}$ (with growing dimensions) having constant η-invariant, one for every possible positive power of two.

Let $n_{r,k}$ denote the minimal dimension for a $\mathbb{Z}_{2^r}$-manifold with $\eta = 2^k$, i.e.

$$n_{r,k} = \min_{M \in \mathcal{M}_r} \{\dim M \,:\, \eta(M) = 2^k\}. \tag{5.5}$$

By Theorem 5.2, this number is well defined for $r \geq 3$, $k \geq 1$ and for $r = 2$, $k = 1$ or k even. Note that $n_{r,1} = n_r$ and for $r \geq 2, k \geq 1$, by (3.17), we have

$$n_{r,1} \leq n_{r,2} \leq n_{r,3} \leq \cdots \leq n_{r,k} \leq n_{r,k+1} \leq \cdots$$
$$n_{2,k} \leq n_{3,k} \leq n_{4,k} \leq \cdots \leq n_{t,k} \leq n_{t+1,k} \leq \cdots$$

We now compute these dimensions.

Lemma 5.4. *We have $n_{2,2k} = 3 + 2k$ for $k \geq 0$ and for any $r \geq 3$, $k \geq 1$*

$$n_{r,k} = n_r + [\tfrac{k}{2}] = 2^{r-1} + [\tfrac{k}{2}] + 3.$$

Proof. Let $M \in \mathcal{M}_r$ with $r \geq 2$. By Lemma 5.1 we can assume that $M = M_{j(r)} \in \mathcal{F}$. If $r = 2$, it is clear that $n_{2,2k} = 2k + 3$, attained by the manifold M_{2k+1} determined by the matrix $B_{2k+1} = \mathrm{diag}(J_1, \ldots, J_1, 1)$, with J_1 repeated $2k + 1$ times.

Now consider the case $r \geq 3$. It is clear that $n_{r,1} = n_r = 2^{r-1} + 3$, attained by the manifold $M_{1,0,\ldots,0,1}$ determined by the matrix $B_{1,0,\ldots,0,1} = \mathrm{diag}(J_{r-1}, J_1, 1)$. To get $\eta = 2^2$ we need 4 blocks, so the minimum dimension where this can be achieved is $7+4 = 11$ given by the manifold $M_{1,0,\ldots,0,1,1}$ determined by the matrix $B_{1,0,\ldots,0,1,1} = \mathrm{diag}(J_{r-1}, J_2, J_1, 1)$. Similarly, for $\eta = 2^3$, the minimum dimension is also 11, attained by the manifold $M_{1,0,\ldots,0,3}$ determined by the matrix $B_{1,0,\ldots,0,3} = \mathrm{diag}(J_{r-1}, J_1, J_1, J_1, 1)$ (just split one block J_2 by two J_1's). In general, the minimal dimension needed to get $\eta = 2^{2k}$ or 2^{2k+1} is the same. In fact, $n_{r,2k} = 2^{r-1} + 4 + 2(2k-2) + 3$ and $n_{r,2k+1} = 2^{r-1} + 2((2k+1)-1) + 3$, that is

$$n_{r,2k} = n_{r,2k+1} = 2^{r-1} + 4k + 3 = n_r + 4k,$$

from which the expression in the statement directly follows. These dimensions are respectively attained by the manifolds $M_{2,2k-1}$ and $M_{1,2k+1}$, determined by the matrices $B_{1,0,\ldots,0,1,2k-1} = \mathrm{diag}(J_{r-1}, J_2, J_1, \ldots, J_1, 1)$, J_1 repeated $2k-1$ times, and $B_{1,0,\ldots,0,2k+1} = \mathrm{diag}(J_{r-1}, J_1, \ldots, J_1, 1)$, J_1 repeated $2k+1$ times. □

Example 5.5. (i) Let us construct $\mathbb{Z}_{2^7}$-manifolds M, M' with $\eta(M) = 2^{11}$, $\eta(M') = 2^{10}$. Since $[\frac{11}{2}] = 5$, the minimal dimension for M is given by $n_{7,11} = n_7 + 4\cdot 5 = 2^6 + 3 + 20 = 87$. We need 13 'blocks', so take $M = M_{1,0,0,0,0,0,11}$ given by $B = \mathrm{diag}(E_6, J_1, \ldots, J_1, 1)$ with J_1 repeated 11 times, where $E_6 = C_6$ or J_6. As before, $n_{7,10} = 87$. Now, we need 12 blocks, thus we take $M' = M_{1,1,0,0,0,0,10}$ given by $B = \mathrm{diag}(E_6, E_2, J_1, \ldots, J_1, 1)$, J_1 repeated 10 times, with $E_i = C_i$ or J_i for $i = 2, 6$.

(ii) In Table 2 below, we give all the $\mathbb{Z}_{2^r}$-manifolds in family $\mathcal{F}$ having $\eta = 2^3$ in dimensions $n = 4k + 3 \leq 35$. This manifolds are defined by diagonal block matrices $B_{j(r)}$ with the blocks in the set $\{J_4, J_3, J_2, J_1, J_0\}$, as defined in (4.2).

We now show that there are infinite families of $\mathbb{Z}_{2^r}$-manifolds with prescribed constant η-invariant and growing dimensions.

TABLE 2. all $\mathbb{Z}_{2^r}$-manifolds in $\mathcal{F}$ with $\eta = 8$ in $\dim n \leq 35$.

dim	partition of n	J_4	J_3	J_2	J_1	J_0	r	F
11	4+2+2+2+1	0	0	1	3	1	3	$\mathbb{Z}_8$
15	4+4+4+2+1	0	0	3	1	1	3	$\mathbb{Z}_8$
15	8+2+2+2+1	0	1	0	3	1	4	$\mathbb{Z}_{16}$
19	8+4+4+2+1	0	1	2	1	1	4	$\mathbb{Z}_{16}$
23	8+8+4+2+1	0	2	1	1	1	4	$\mathbb{Z}_{16}$
23	16+2+2+2+1	1	0	0	3	1	5	$\mathbb{Z}_{32}$
27	8+8+8+2+1	0	3	0	1	1	4	$\mathbb{Z}_{16}$
27	16+4+4+2+1	1	0	2	1	1	5	$\mathbb{Z}_{32}$
31	16+8+4+2+1	1	1	1	1	1	5	$\mathbb{Z}_{32}$

Corollary 5.6. *For every positive integer k there is a family $\mathcal{G}_k = \{M_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathcal{F}$ such that $\eta(M_i) = 2^k$ for every i and $\dim M_i \nearrow \infty$. In particular, for every $r \geq 2$, one can take each $M_r \in \mathcal{G}_k$ having holonomy group of order 2^r and minimal dimension $n_{r,k}$.*

Proof. It is sufficient to prove the second claim in the statement. Let $k \geq 1$, $r \geq 2$. By (3.17), to get $\eta = 2^k$, we need to take a manifold in $\mathcal{F}$ with exactly $k+2$ blocks. Consider the matrix

$$B_r = \operatorname{diag}(J_{r-1}, \underbrace{J_2, \ldots, J_2}_{(k-1)-\text{times}}, J_1, 1).$$

The induced manifold M_r in $\mathcal{F}$ has holonomy group of order 2^r, $\eta = 2^k$ and dimension

$$\dim M_r = 2^{r-1} + 4(k-1) + 1 = 2^{r-1} + 4k - 3 \geq n_{r,k}.$$

To obtain minimal dimensions, just proceed as in the proof of Lemma 5.4, taking $B_r = \operatorname{diag}(J_{r-1}, J_2, J_1, \ldots, J_1, 1)$ or $B_r = \operatorname{diag}(J_{r-1}, J_1, \ldots, J_1, 1)$, depending whether k is even or odd. □

6. Comparison with Donnelly's expression for η

In [**14**], we gave an expression for the η-invariant of any cfm (i.e., arbitrary holonomy representations, any lattice) following a direct approach; i.e., we first computed the multiplicities of the eigenvalues, then we found $\eta(s)$ and finally we obtained η by evaluation at $s = 0$ (see Theorems 3.3, 3.5 and 4.2 in [**14**]).

On the other hand, Donnelly has previously obtained an expression for the η-invariant for more general manifolds M (compact oriented Riemannian manifolds with a Lie group acting by isometries on it) in an indirect way ([**4**], Theorem 3.4), by first computing the signature of a manifold $\tilde{M}$ with $M = \partial\tilde{M}$, and then using the Atiyah-Patodi-Singer index theorem for manifolds with boundary in [**1**]. For a very special kind of cfm's, namely those having holonomy group $F \subset \mathrm{SO}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ and canonical lattice $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}^n$, the expression for η drastically simplifies ([**4**], Proposition 4.12).

Since both methods are quite different, i.e. representation theoretical vs. topological ones, it is the author feeling that it is interesting to compare both expressions for the η-invariant, when possible (i.e. in the special case considered in [**4**]).

Donnelly considered cfm's having holonomy group $F \subset \mathrm{SO}(n,\mathbb{Z})$ where each $B \in F$ is of the form $B = \mathrm{diag}(B',1)$, $B' \in \mathrm{SO}(n-1,\mathbb{Z})$. This is a rather restricted family, since if $B \in \mathrm{SO}(n,\mathbb{Z})$ then necessarily

$$Be_i = \pm e_{j(B,i)} \qquad 1 \leq i \leq n-1, \qquad Be_n = e_n, \tag{6.1}$$

where $\{e_i\}$ is the canonical basis of $\mathbb{R}^n$. Such B induces a permutation matrix P_B given by $P_B e_i = e_{j(B,i)}$. Then, we have the decomposition $P_B = P_{B,1} \cdots P_{B,c}$ into disjoint cycles. In other words, $c = c(B)$ is the number of orbits of the action of B on the basis vectors. Clearly, $c(B) = c(B') + 1 \geq 2$. Notice that the matrices $B_{k(r)}$ in (4.4) satisfy condition (6.1) and that

$$c(B_{k(r)}) = j_{r-1} + \cdots + j_1 + 1 = f(B_{k(r)}).$$

We now recast Donnelly's expression for the η-invariant in our present notations (with $\alpha = 1$ the trivial representation).

Proposition 6.1 ([**4**], Proposition 4.12)**.** *Let M_Γ be a compact flat manifold of dimension $n = 4h-1$ with translation lattice $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}^n$ such that every $\gamma = BL_b \in \Gamma$ is of the form $B = \mathrm{diag}(B',1)$ with $B' \in \mathrm{SO}(n-1,\mathbb{Z})$ and $b = ae_n$, with $a \in \mathbb{R}$. Then,*

$$\eta^{[\mathrm{Do}]}(M_\Gamma) = \tfrac{(-1)^h}{|F|} \sum_{BL_b \in (\Lambda\backslash\Gamma)'} 2^{c(B')} \Big(\prod_{j=1}^{m} \cot\big(\tfrac{t_j(x_B)}{2}\big) \Big) \cot(\pi\langle e_n, b\rangle). \tag{6.2}$$

Note that (6.2) is formally very similar to (3.4), which is valid for arbitrary cfm's. Both expressions involve sums of trigonometric products at special angles. The main difference seems to be the factors $2^{c(B)}$ for $BL_b \in \Gamma$.

Since $\mathbb{Z}_{2^r}$-manifolds in $\mathcal{F}$ satisfy the conditions in Proposition 6.1, they are specially suited for comparison, since Donnelly's formula apply in this case. We will first need some trigonometric identities.

Proposition 6.2. *For any $r \in \mathbb{N}$, $r \geq 2$ and k odd, the following identity holds*

$$\prod_{j \in I^*_{2^{r-1}}} \cot(\tfrac{jk\pi}{2^r}) = 1. \tag{6.3}$$

Proof. By using $\sin 2\theta = 2\sin\theta\cos\theta$ and (2.10), for any $r \geq 2$, we get

$$\prod_{j \in I^*_{2^r}} \cos(\tfrac{j\pi}{2^r}) = \frac{\prod_{j \in I^*_{2^r}} \sin(\frac{2j\pi}{2^r})}{2^{2^r-2} \prod_{j \in I^*_{2^r}} \sin(\frac{j\pi}{2^r})} = \frac{\frac{1}{2^{2^r-2}-1}}{2^{2^r-2}\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2^{2^r-2}}} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2^{2^r-2}}. \tag{6.4}$$

Now, the identities (2.11) and (2.12) also hold for $\cos(\frac{jk\pi}{N})$ changing every sine by the corresponding cosine. Therefore, the product in (6.3) does not depend on k. Thus, $\prod_{j \in I^*_{2^r}} \cot(\frac{jk\pi}{N}) = \prod_{j \in I^*_{2^r}} \cot(\frac{j\pi}{N}) = 1$, by (2.13) and (6.4). □

Proposition 6.3. *Let $r, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$ with ℓ odd. If N is either 2^r or 2^{r-1}, with $r \geq 2$, then*

$$\sum_{j \in I^*_N} (-1)^{[\frac{k}{2}]} \cot(\tfrac{k\ell\pi}{2^r}) = (-1)^{[\frac{\ell}{2}]} \tfrac{N}{2}\,. \tag{6.5}$$

Proof. We will denote by Σ_{2^r} and Σ'_{2^r} the sums in (6.5) corresponding to $N = 2^r$ and 2^{r-1}, respectively. Note that, $\cot\left(\frac{(2^r-k)\ell\pi}{2^r}\right) = -\cot\left(\frac{k\ell\pi}{2^r}\right)$, and hence

$$\Sigma_{2^r} = \cot(\tfrac{\ell\pi}{2^r}) - \cot(\tfrac{3\ell\pi}{2^r}) + \cdots + \cot(\tfrac{(2^r-3)\ell\pi}{2^r}) - \cot(\tfrac{(2^r-1)\ell\pi}{2^r}) = 2\,\Sigma'_{2^r}\,.$$

Thus, it is enough to prove that $\Sigma'_{2^r} = (-1)^{[\frac{\ell}{2}]}2^{r-2}$, which we will do by induction on r. It is immediate to check that $\cot(\frac{\ell\pi}{4}) = (-1)^{[\frac{\ell}{2}]}$ for any odd ℓ and hence, $\Sigma'_{2^2} = (-1)^{[\frac{\ell}{2}]}2$, and the first step in the induction holds. For the general step, we have

$$\Sigma'_{2^r} = \sum_{k\in I^*_{2^{r-1}}} (-1)^{[\frac{k}{2}]}\cot(\tfrac{k\ell\pi}{2^r}) = \sum_{k\in I^*_{2^{r-2}}} (-1)^{[\frac{k}{2}]}\,\Big(\underbrace{\cot(\tfrac{k\ell\pi}{2^r}) - \cot\left(\tfrac{(2^{r-1}-k)\ell\pi}{2^r}\right)}_{C_{k,r}}\Big)\,.$$

Since $\cos\left(\frac{(2^{r-1}-k)\ell\pi}{2^r}\right) = (-1)^{[\frac{\ell}{2}]}\sin(\frac{k\ell\pi}{2^r})$ and $\sin\left(\frac{(2^{r-1}-k)\ell\pi}{2^r}\right) = (-1)^{[\frac{\ell}{2}]}\cos(\frac{k\ell\pi}{2^r})$, by using $\cos 2\theta = \cos^2\theta - \sin^2\theta$ and $\sin 2\theta = 2\sin\theta\cos\theta$, we have

$$C_{k,r} = \frac{\cos(\frac{k\ell\pi}{2^r})}{\sin(\frac{k\ell\pi}{2^r})} - \frac{\sin(\frac{k\ell\pi}{2^r})}{\cos(\frac{k\ell\pi}{2^r})} = \frac{\cos^2(\frac{k\ell\pi}{2^r}) - \sin^2(\frac{k\ell\pi}{2^r})}{\sin(\frac{k\ell\pi}{2^r})\cos(\frac{k\ell\pi}{2^r})} = \frac{2\cos(\frac{k\ell\pi}{2^{r-1}})}{\sin(\frac{k\ell\pi}{2^{r-1}})} = 2\cot(\tfrac{k\ell\pi}{2^{r-1}}).$$

In this way, by the inductive hypothesis we get

$$\Sigma'_{2^r} = 2\sum_{k\in I^*_{2^{r-2}}} (-1)^{[\frac{k}{2}]}\cot(\tfrac{k\ell\pi}{2^{r-1}}) = 2\,\Sigma'_{2^{r-1}} = (-1)^{[\frac{\ell}{2}]}2^{r-2}.$$

and the result thus follows. □

For $\mathbb{Z}_{2^r}$-manifolds in $\mathcal{F}$, the expression (6.2) can be explicitly computed.

Proposition 6.4. *If $M = M_{k(r)} \in \mathcal{F}$ then $\eta^{[\mathrm{Do}]}(M) = (-1)^h\,2^{j_{r-1}+\cdots+j_1-1}$.*

Proof. Starting from (6.2), and using that $\sigma_B = 1$, $\ell_\gamma = 1$, $F = \langle B\rangle$ is cyclic of order $N = 2^r$, with $B = B_{k(r)}$, and that $B^k \in F'_1$ if and only if k is odd, we get

$$\eta^{[\mathrm{Do}]}(M_\Gamma) = (-1)^h\ 2^{c(B')-r}\sum_{k\in I^*_{2^r}}\Big(\prod_{j=1}^{m}\cot\left(\tfrac{kt_j}{2}\right)\Big)\,\cot(\tfrac{\pi k}{2^r}) \tag{6.6}$$

since $c(B^k) = c(B)$ for any k odd. Now, by (4.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\prod_{j=1}^{m}\cot\left(\tfrac{kt_j}{2}\right) &= \left(\prod_{k\in I^*_{2^r}}\cot(\tfrac{jk\pi}{2^{r+1}})\right)^{j_{r-1}}\cdots\left(\prod_{k\in I^*_8}\cot(\tfrac{jk\pi}{16})\right)^{j_3}\left(\prod_{k\in I^*_4}\cot(\tfrac{jk\pi}{8})\right)^{j_2}\cot(\tfrac{\pi k}{4})^{j_1}\\ &= (-1)^{[\frac{k}{2}]j_1}\prod_{i=2}^{r-1}\left(\prod_{k\in I^*_{2^i}}\cot(\tfrac{jk\pi}{2^{i+1}})\right)^{j_i} = (-1)^{[\frac{k}{2}]}\end{aligned}$$

where in the last equality we have used that j_1 is odd and Proposition 6.2. Hence, by (6.6),

$$\eta^{[\mathrm{Do}]}(M_\Gamma) = (-1)^h\,2^{c(B')-r}\sum_{k\in I^*_{2^r}}(-1)^{[\frac{k}{2}]}\,\cot(\tfrac{\pi k}{2^r})$$

and thus, by Proposition 6.3, $\eta^{[\mathrm{Do}]}(M_\Gamma) = (-1)^h\, 2^{c(B')-r}\, 2^{r-1} = (-1)^h\ 2^{j_{r-1}+\cdots+j_1-1}$, as asserted. □

Remark 6.5. (i) In relation to (and continuing with) Remark 4.11, notice that taking $\ell_\gamma = \ell$ odd in (6.6), and using Proposition 6.3, we have $\eta^{[\mathrm{Do}]}(M_\ell) = (-1)^{[\ell/2]}\, \eta^{[\mathrm{Do}]}(M)$.

(ii) By Corollary 4.8 and Proposition 6.4 we have $\eta^{[\mathrm{Do}]} = (-1)^{h+1}\, \eta^{[\mathrm{MP}]}$. In this way, we see that there is a difference in sign between both results, precisely when h is odd, i.e. in dimensions $n \equiv 3 \bmod 8$.

Donnelly's expression (6.2) works for any cfm whose integral holonomy representation is restricted to $\mathrm{SO}(n,\mathbb{Z})$. In light of the previous results, one may ask if Proposition 6.1 can be generalized to hold with more generality. For $\mathbb{Z}_{2^r}$-manifolds, by Propositions 4.8 and 6.4 and Lemma 5.1 we see that Proposition 6.1 holds for arbitrary $\mathbb{Z}_{2^r}$-manifolds; that is, for any lattice and any integral holonomy representation. However, the condition on the holonomy representation cannot be removed for $F \not\cong \mathbb{Z}_{2^r}$, as the next example shows.

Example 6.6. Up to diffeomorphism, there is only one $\mathbb{Z}_3$-manifold in dim 3, the *triscosm* $M_3 = \Gamma_3\backslash\mathbb{R}^3$ where $\Gamma_3 = \langle BL_{\frac{e_3}{3}}, L_\Lambda\rangle$, $B = \mathrm{diag}(B',1)$, $B' = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$, and $\Lambda = \Lambda_{\mathcal{H}} \oplus \mathbb{Z}e_3$, with $\Lambda_{\mathcal{H}} = \mathbb{Z}e_1 \oplus \mathbb{Z}f_2$, $f_2 = -\frac{1}{2}e_1 + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}e_2$, the plane hexagonal lattice. It is known that $\eta(M_3) = -\frac{2}{3}$ ([**9**], also [**15**], [**20**]). However, applying (6.2), since $x_{B^k} = x(\frac{2k\pi}{3})$ and $c(B^k) = 2$, $k = 1, 2$, one gets

$$\eta^{[\mathrm{Do}]}(M_3) = -\tfrac{2}{3} \sum_{k=1,2} \cot(\tfrac{k\pi}{3})^2 = -\tfrac{2}{3}(\tfrac{1}{3} + \tfrac{1}{3}) = -\tfrac{4}{9}.$$

Here, $B' \notin \mathrm{SO}(2)$ and, after conjugation, we get $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} \cos(2\pi/3) & -\sin(2\pi/3) \\ \sin(2\pi/3) & \cos(2\pi/3) \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in \mathrm{SO}(2) \smallsetminus \mathrm{SO}(2,\mathbb{Z})$.

The previous example is a particular case of $\mathbb{Z}_p$-manifolds, p odd prime (classified by Charlap [**3**]). See [**7**], [**12**], [**13**], [**14**] and [**15**] for details on the construction/classification of $\mathbb{Z}_p$-manifolds, topological properties and computations of $\eta(s)$ and η for both the spin Dirac and the APS operators.

Remark 6.7. Donnelly's expession (6.2) cannot be applied to $\mathbb{Z}_p$-manifolds, p odd prime, because $\mathbb{Z}_p$-manifolds having non-trivial η-invariant have integral holonomy representations taking values in $\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{Z}) \smallsetminus \mathrm{SO}(n)$ (see §2 in [**13**], §4 in [**15**]). As before, one can show (with a little bit more effort) that by applying expression (6.2) anyhow we get a different result for η that when using formula (3.4).

Acknowledgments. I am very grateful to Professor Roberto Miatello for useful conversations which led this paper to the final form. In particular, I am in debt with him for pointing out to me the subfamily of manifolds in $\mathcal{F}$ determined by the matrices B' in (4.2).

References

[**1**] M.F. Atiyah, V.K. Patodi, I.M. Singer, *Spectral asymmetry and Riemannian geometry I, II, III*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **77** (43–69) 1975, **78** (405–432) 1975, **79**, (71–99) 1976.

[**2**] Carat, The Carat home page, `http://wwwb.math.rwth-aachen.de/carat/`.

[**3**] L. Charlap, *Compact flat Riemannian manifolds I*, Ann. of Math. **81** (15–30), 1965.

[**4**] H. Donnelly. *Eta invariants for G-spaces*, Indiana University Math. J., vol. **224** (161–170), 1976.

[**5**] P.G. Doyle, J.P. Rossetti. *Tetra and Didi, the cosmic spectral twins*, Geometry and Topology **8**, (1227–1242) 2004.
[**6**] P.B. Gilkey, The Residue of the Global η Function at the Origin, Adv. in Math. **40**, (290–307) 1981.
[**7**] P.B. Gilkey, R.J. Miatello, R.A. Podestá, *The eta invariant and equivariant bordism of flat manifolds with cyclic holonomy group of odd prime order*, Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom. **37** (275–306), 2010.
[**8**] H.B. Lawson, M.L. Michelsohn, *Spin geometry*, Princeton Mathematical Series, 38, Princeton University Press, New Jersey, 1989.
[**9**] D.D. Long, A.W. Reid, *On the geometric boundaries of hyperbolic 4-manifolds*, Geometry and Topology, **4**, (171–178) 2000.
[**10**] K. Mahler, *On a special functional equation*. J. London Math. Soc **15**, (115–123), 1940.
[**11**] R.J. Miatello, R.A. Podestá, *Spin structures and spectra of $\mathbb{Z}_2^k$-manifolds*, Math. Zeitschrift **247**, (319–335) 2004.
[**12**] R.J. Miatello, R.A. Podestá, *The spectrum of twisted Dirac operators on compact flat manifolds*, Trans. Amer. Math. Society **358**, 10, (4569–4603) 2006.
[**13**] R.J. Miatello, R.A. Podestá, *Eta invariants and class numbers*, Pure Appl. Math. Q. **5** (1–26), 2009.
[**14**] R.J. Miatello, R.A. Podestá, *Spectral theory of the Atiyah-Patodi-Singer operator on compact flat manifolds*, Jour. Geom. Analysis, **22**, 4, (1027–1054) 2012.
[**15**] R.J. Miatello, R.A. Podestá, *Eta series and eta-invariant of the Atiyah-Patodi-Singer operator on compact flat manifolds*, Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom., **42**, 2, (171–194) 2012.
[**16**] R.J. Miatello, J.P. Rossetti. *Spectral properties of flat manifolds*. In: C.S. Gordon, J.A. Tirao, J. Vargas, J.A. Wolf (eds.) New developments in Lie theory and geometry. Sixth Workshop on Lie theory and Geometry, November 13-17 2007, Cruz Chica, Córdoba, Argentina. Contemporary Mathematics **491**, (83–113) 2009.
[**17**] W.B. Pennington, *On Mahler's partition problem*. Annals of Math. **57**, (531–546) 1953.
[**18**] R.A. Podestá, *Eta series and eta invariant of $\mathbb{Z}_4$-manifolds*, Rev. Un. Mat. Arg. **46**, 1, (31–46) 2005.
[**19**] J.P. Rossetti, J.H. Conway, *Hearing the platycosms*, Math. Res. Lett. **13**, 2-3, (475–494) 2006.
[**20**] A. Szczepański, *Eta invariants for flat manifolds*, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **41**, (125–138) 2012.

FaMAF (Universidad Nacional de Córdoba) – CIEM (Conicet) – IAPCS (Universidad Nacional de Villa María), Argentina, July 29, 2014
E-mail address: podesta@famaf.unc.edu.ar